# FINANCIAL AUDIT INCLUDING THE PROVISIONS OF THE SINGLE AUDIT ACT OF THE

### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000

### **EXECUTIVE DIGEST**

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

INTRODUCTION	This report contains the results of our financial audit*, including the provisions of the Single Audit* Act, of the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) for the period October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000.
AUDIT PURPOSE	This financial audit of MDOT was conducted as part of the constitutional responsibility of the Office of the Auditor General and is required on a biennial basis by Act 251, P.A. 1986, to satisfy the requirements of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, <i>Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.</i>
BACKGROUND	MDOT's mission* is to provide the people of Michigan with the highest quality transportation services for economic benefit and improved quality of life. MDOT's financial and accounting operations are primarily concentrated in the Bureau of Finance and Administration. The responsibilities for federal grant management are shared by the bureaus that carry out the grant activities.  MDOT's major sources of funding are tax revenues dedicated for transportation purposes and federal funds. During fiscal year 1999-2000, MDOT's revenues totaled

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

\$3.1 billion and expenditures totaled \$3.1 billion. As of September 30, 2000, MDOT had 3,250 employees.

### AUDIT OBJECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS

**Audit Objective:** To audit MDOT's financial statements and to examine the supplemental financial statements and schedules, including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, in relation to MDOT's financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999.

Conclusion: We expressed an unqualified opinion\* on MDOT's financial statements. In addition, we expressed an unqualified opinion on MDOT's supplemental financial statements and schedules, including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

**Audit Objective:** To assess and report on MDOT's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements, and on its internal control\* over financial reporting, based on our audit of the financial statements.

Conclusion: Our assessment of compliance did not disclose any instances of noncompliance that could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. Also, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting did not disclose any material weaknesses\*. However, we identified reportable conditions\* related to equipment and controls over Michigan Administrative Information Network\* (MAIN) user access (Findings 1 and 2).

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

In addition, our assessment indicated that MDOT was in substantial compliance with the requirements set forth in Sections 18.1483 - 18.1487 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* pertaining to its systems of internal accounting and administrative control.

**Audit Objective:** To assess and report on MDOT's compliance with requirements applicable to each major federal program and on its internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Conclusion: We issued an unqualified opinion on MDOT's compliance with requirements applicable to each major federal program. Also, our assessment of internal control over compliance applicable to each major federal program did not disclose any material weaknesses.

#### AUDIT SCOPE

Our audit scope was to examine the financial and other records of the Michigan Department of Transportation for the period October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000. Our audit was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,* and, accordingly, included such tests of the records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

## AGENCY RESPONSES AND PRIOR AUDIT FOLLOW-UP

Our audit report contains two findings and two corresponding recommendations. The Department's corrective action plan indicates that it agrees with both recommendations and will implement one recommendation by September 30, 2001 and has implemented the other recommendation.

As disclosed in MDOT's summary schedule of prior audit findings, MDOT fully corrected 3 of the 6 prior Single Audit findings and did not correct or partially corrected 3 findings.

June 29, 2001

Mr. Barton W. LaBelle, Chairperson State Transportation Commission and Mr. Gregory J. Rosine, Director Michigan Department of Transportation Transportation Building Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. LaBelle and Mr. Rosine:

This is our report on the financial audit, including the provisions of the Single Audit Act, of the Michigan Department of Transportation for the period October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000.

This report contains our executive digest; description of agency; audit objectives and conclusions, audit scope, and agency responses and prior audit follow-up; schedule of findings and questioned costs; and independent auditor's reports on the financial statements, on compliance and on internal control over financial reporting, and on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133. This report also contains the Michigan Department of Transportation financial statements and notes to the financial statements, supplemental financial statements and schedules, other schedules, and a glossary of acronyms and terms.

Our findings and recommendations are contained in Section II of the schedule of findings and questioned costs. The agency preliminary responses are contained in the corrective action plan. The *Michigan Compiled Laws* and administrative procedures require that the audited agency develop a formal response within 60 days after release of the audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during this audit.

Sincerely,

Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General

This page left intentionally blank.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **INTRODUCTION**

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Digest	1
Report Letter	5
Description of Agency	10
Audit Objectives and Conclusions, Audit Scope, and Agency Responses and Prior Audit Follow-Up	11
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results	14
Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Statements	15
1. Equipment	15
2. Controls Over MAIN User Access	16
Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards	17
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	20
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	22
Michigan Department of Transportation Financial Statements	
Combined Balance Sheet - All Fund Types and Account Groups	24

Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - All Governmental Fund Types and Expendable Trust Funds	28
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Special Revenue Funds	32
Notes to the Financial Statements	36
SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES	
Special Revenue Funds:	
Descriptions of Special Revenue Funds	56
Combining Balance Sheet	60
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	62
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	64
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 1999	66
Debt Service Funds:	
Descriptions of Debt Service Funds	68
Combining Balance Sheet	69
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	70
Expendable Trust Fund:	
Description of Expendable Trust Fund	71
Combining Balance Sheet	73
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	<b>7</b> 4
Agency Fund:	
Description of Agency Fund	75
Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities	76

- Economic Development Fund	77
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Blue Water Bridge Fund	78
Schedule of Debt Service Requirements on Outstanding Bonds	79
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	80
OTHER SCHEDULES	
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	83
Corrective Action Plan	86
GLOSSARY	
Glossary of Acronyms and Terms	88

#### **Description of Agency**

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) was organized under Sections 16.450 - 16.458 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* (Sections 350 - 358, Act 380, P.A. 1965). The State Transportation Commission, made up of six members who are appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate, is responsible for establishing MDOT policies. MDOT is managed by a director, appointed by the Governor, who is responsible for administering MDOT and implementing the policies established by the Commission. MDOT's mission is to provide the people of Michigan with the highest quality transportation services for economic benefit and improved quality of life.

MDOT's financial and accounting operations are primarily concentrated in the Bureau of Finance and Administration. The Bureau is organized into four divisions: Financial Operations Division, Financial Services Division, Technological Services Division, and Organizational Training and Development Division. The Bureau provides management with financial data obtained by ensuring the accounting for and reporting of all financial transactions. Also, the Bureau prequalifies construction contractors and processes payments for all commodities, services, and contracts. In addition, the Bureau provides management with short-range and long-range revenue estimates and cash flow forecasts for budgeting purposes. The responsibilities for federal grant management are shared by the bureaus that carry out the grant activities.

Funding for MDOT is provided from vehicle and airplane fuel and value taxes plus a portion of sales taxes on vehicles, parts, and accessories. This funding is distributed to transportation programs in accordance with Sections 247.651 - 247.674 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* (Act 51, P.A. 1951). Funding is also provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation from federal fuel and excise taxes on certain commodities.

During fiscal year 1999-2000, MDOT's revenues totaled \$3.1 billion and expenditures totaled \$3.1 billion. As of September 30, 2000, MDOT had 3,250 employees.

## Audit Objectives and Conclusions, Audit Scope, and Agency Responses and Prior Audit Follow-Up

#### Audit Objectives and Conclusions

Our financial audit, including the provisions of the Single Audit Act, of the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) had the following objectives:

 To audit MDOT's financial statements and to examine the supplemental financial statements and schedules, including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, in relation to MDOT's financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999.

We expressed an unqualified opinion on MDOT's financial statements. In addition, we expressed an unqualified opinion on MDOT's supplemental financial statements and schedules, including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

To assess and report on MDOT's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements, and on its internal control over financial reporting, based on our audit of the financial statements.

Our assessment of compliance did not disclose any instances of noncompliance that could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. Also, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting did not disclose any material weaknesses. However, we identified reportable conditions related to equipment and controls over Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN) user access (Findings 1 and 2).

In addition, our assessment indicated that MDOT was in substantial compliance with the requirements set forth in Sections 18.1483 - 18.1487 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* pertaining to its systems of internal accounting and administrative control.

The findings related to our assessment of compliance and internal control over financial reporting are contained in Section II of the schedule of findings and questioned costs\*.

 To assess and report on MDOT's compliance with requirements applicable to each major federal program and on its internal control over compliance in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133.

We issued an unqualified opinion on MDOT's compliance with requirements applicable to each major federal program. Also, our assessment of internal control over compliance applicable to each major federal program did not disclose any material weaknesses.

#### Audit Scope

Our audit scope was to examine the financial and other records of the Michigan Department of Transportation for the period October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000. Our audit was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included such tests of the records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We considered MDOT's internal control over compliance applicable to each major federal program and assessed MDOT's compliance with federal laws and regulations in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, in addition to auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. In addition, we followed up on MDOT's summary schedule of prior audit findings. MDOT's major federal programs are identified in Section I of the schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Agency Responses and Prior Audit Follow-Up

Our audit report contains two findings and two corresponding recommendations. The Department's corrective action plan indicates that it agrees with both recommendations

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

and will implement one recommendation by September 30, 2001 and has implemented the other recommendation.

MDOT's corrective action plan, which is included in this report, was prepared by MDOT as required by OMB Circular A-133. Section 18.1462 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* and Department of Management and Budget Administrative Guide procedure 1280.02 require MDOT to develop a formal response to our audit findings and recommendations within 60 days after release of the audit report.

As disclosed in MDOT's summary schedule of prior audit findings, MDOT fully corrected 3 of the 6 prior Single Audit findings and did not correct or partially corrected 3 findings.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results

**Financial Statements** 

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses identified?

Reportable conditions identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses?

Noncompliance material to the financial statements?

**Federal Awards** 

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses identified?

Reportable conditions identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses?

None Reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Circular A-133, Section 510(a)?

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program					
20.106	Airport Improvement Program					
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction					
20.308	Local Rail Freight Assistance					

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$5,092,017

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee\*?

No

#### **Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Statements**

#### **FINDING (590101)**

1. <u>Equipment</u>

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) needs to improve its controls over accounting for equipment.

MDOT's equipment as of September 30, 2000 totaled \$107.2 million and included office furnishings, computer equipment, fleet vehicles, and aeronautic equipment.

Department of Management and Budget (DMB) procedures state that each agency is responsible for implementing and maintaining an equipment inventory system that provides adequate internal control and necessary financial information used by the DMB Office of Financial Management (OFM) in preparing the *State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report*. Agencies must perform an annual physical inventory of equipment in their possession and report fixed asset financial information to OFM.

We noted the following weaknesses in MDOT's controls over equipment:

a. MDOT did not perform annual inventories of aeronautic equipment or buses in its possession for fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99 and did not perform an annual inventory of computer equipment for fiscal year 1998-99. Also, MDOT's procedures did not ensure the timely accumulation of office furnishings inventory information.

Without complete and timely inventory information, MDOT cannot ensure the accuracy of its equipment reporting.

b. MDOT did not have written procedures to account for and report on equipment.

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

Written procedures help ensure that employees consistently and properly complete their assigned duties. Also, written procedures minimize the disruptive impact and training costs associated with replacing existing employees.

As part of the process to provide OFM with equipment information, MDOT performs various activities. Generally, MDOT did not document the procedures needed to effectively perform these activities. For example, MDOT owned \$9.4 million of buses that it loaned to local units. However, MDOT had not inventoried the buses and reported their existence until their omission was brought to MDOT's attention in fiscal year 1999-2000. Procedures did not exist that would have helped MDOT determine that it needed to inventory the buses and correctly report the amounts to OFM and in MDOT's own financial statements.

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MDOT improve its controls over accounting for equipment.

#### **FINDING (590102)**

2. Controls Over MAIN User Access

MDOT needs to strengthen controls over Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN) user access.

Our review of MAIN user classes and user security disclosed:

a. MDOT did not provide a written affirmation to OFM stating that compensating controls were in place for 7 of 14 persons with incompatible user class combinations during fiscal year 1998-99.

MAIN Financial Administration and Control System (FACS) Security Manual section 6.3.2 requires that agencies provide OFM with a written affirmation stating that they understand the internal control risks associated with the identified user class combinations and that they take full responsibility for maintaining effective compensating controls. In addition, each agency must provide OFM with documentation of its compensating controls.

b. Thirty of 42 employees who had departed between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2000 still had access to MAIN as of November 22, 2000. To comply with MAIN FACS Security Manual section 4.1, agencies need controls that will revoke employees' MAIN access when they terminate employment with MDOT to avoid unauthorized use of MAIN.

For 1 of the 30 employees who had departed from MDOT, we found 708 unauthorized uses of MAIN from March 20, 2000 until March 11, 2001 by another employee. The other employee "borrowed" the departed employee's MAIN access to make legitimate MDOT transactions because MDOT did not ensure that the employee had proper access to MAIN.

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MDOT strengthen controls over MAIN user access.

The status of the findings related to the financial statements that were reported in prior Single Audits is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards

We did not report any findings related to federal awards.

The status of the findings related to federal awards that were reported in prior Single Audits is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

### Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Statements

March 29, 2001

Mr. Barton W. LaBelle, Chairperson State Transportation Commission and Mr. Gregory J. Rosine, Director Michigan Department of Transportation Transportation Building Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. LaBelle and Mr. Rosine:

We have audited the accompanying combined financial statements of the Michigan Department of Transportation as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1a, the accompanying financial statements present only the Michigan Department of Transportation and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the State of Michigan or its special revenue, debt service, expendable trust, or agency funds.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Michigan Department of Transportation

as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 and the results of its operations for the fiscal years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated March 29, 2001 on our tests of the Department's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants and on our consideration of its internal control over financial reporting. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and other supplemental financial statements and schedules, as listed in the table of contents, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the Department's financial statements referred to in the first paragraph. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Sincerely,

Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General

### Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

March 29, 2001

Mr. Barton W. LaBelle, Chairperson State Transportation Commission and Mr. Gregory J. Rosine, Director Michigan Department of Transportation Transportation Building Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. LaBelle and Mr. Rosine:

We have audited the financial statements of the Michigan Department of Transportation as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2001. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Department's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention

relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the Department's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 1 and 2.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that neither of the reportable conditions described in the previous paragraph is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State Transportation Commission, the State's management, the Legislature, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sincerely,

Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General

# Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

March 29, 2001

Mr. Barton W. LaBelle, Chairperson State Transportation Commission and Mr. Gregory J. Rosine, Director Michigan Department of Transportation Transportation Building Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. LaBelle and Mr. Rosine:

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Michigan Department of Transportation with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each major federal program for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999. The Department's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each major federal program is the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Department's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to in the previous paragraph that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Department's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary

in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Department's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Michigan Department of Transportation complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to in the second previous paragraph that are applicable to each major federal program for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Department is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State Transportation Commission, the State's management, the Legislature, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sincerely,

Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Combined Balance Sheet
All Fund Types and Account Groups
As of September 30
(In Thousands)

	Governmental Fund Types						Fiduciary Fund Types					
	Special Revenue			Debt Service			ce	Tro	ust		Agency	
		2000		1999		000		99	2000	1999	200	
ASSETS												
Current Assets:												
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	176	\$	36	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$
Equity in Common Cash		689,483		610,553						4,410		119
Receivables (Note 3):												
Taxes, interest, and penalties (at net)		97,513		99,866								
Federal aid		142,824		96,621					23,771	16,760		126
Local units		20,885		22,376					14,798	10,180		
Other funds and component units		53,827		66,963								
Miscellaneous		16,430		9,358					211	67		
Inventories	_	6,951	_	8,568	_	_	_		A 00 700		_	2 2 2 2 2
Total Current Assets	_ \$ ^	1,028,091	\$	914,340	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417	\$	0 \$ 246
Noncurrent Assets:												
Receivables:												
Taxes (Note 3b)	\$	1,691	\$	753	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$
Federal aid (Note 3c)		1,352		449								
Local units		33,920		34,251								
Advances to other funds		28,942		33,642								
Land contracts		3,776		3,653								
Miscellaneous		1,609		1,440								
Property, plant and equipment (Note 7)												
Amount to be provided for bond												
retirement (Note 4)												
Amount provided for other												
long-term obligations												
Total Noncurrent Assets	\$	71,290	\$	74,188	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0 \$ 0
Total Assets	\$ 1	1,099,381	\$	988,528	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417	\$	0 \$ 246
	_											
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES												
Current Liabilities:												
Warrants outstanding	\$	22,615	\$	23,598	\$		\$		\$ 3,413	\$ 4,013	\$	\$ 57
Accounts payable		430,770		389,203					25,618	23,292		189
Contract reserve payable		12,424		10,760					3,885	3,643		
Due to other funds and component units		54,045		66,649					3,345			
Deposits, permits, and other liabilities		3,229		2,392								
Deferred revenue	_	10,455		6,615					2,519	469		
Total Current Liabilities	\$	533,539	\$_	499,219	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417	\$	0 \$ 246
Long-Term Liabilities:												
Deferred revenue	\$	17,827	\$	15,541	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$
Advances from other funds	•	28,942	•	33,642	•		•		Ť	Ť	•	•
Bonds and notes payable (Note 4)				•								
Other long-term liabilities	_											
Total Liabilities	\$	580,309	\$	548,402	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417	\$	0 \$ 246

This statement continued on next page.

			Account	Grou	ıps						
						neral					
	General F	ixed A			Long-Term	ı Obli		(Memorandum Only)			
	2000		1999	_	2000		1999	2000		1999	
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	176	\$	36
									689,483		615,082
									97,513		99,866
									166,595		113,507
									35,684		32,556
									53,827		66,962
									16,641		9,425
								_	6,951		8,568
\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 1	,066,870	\$	946,002
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1,691	\$	753
									1,352		449
									33,920		34,251
									28,942		33,642
									3,777		3,653
	045.040		000 004						1,609		1,440
	215,343		208,664						215,343		208,664
					836,704		858,941		836,704		858,941
					40,501		37,520		40,501		37,520
\$	215,343	\$	208,664	\$	877,205	\$	896,461	\$ 1	,163,839	\$	1,179,313
\$	215,343	\$	208,664	\$	877,205	\$	896,461	\$ 2	2,230,710	\$	2,125,315
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	26,028	\$	27,668
•		*		*		•		*	456,389	•	412,684
									16,309		14,405
									57,391		66,649
									3,229		2,392
									12,973		7,084
\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	572,319	\$	530,882
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	17,828	\$	15,541
									28,942		33,642
					836,704		858,941		836,704		858,941
					40,501		37,520		40,501		37,520
\$	00	\$	0	\$	877,205	\$	896,461	\$ 1	,496,294	\$	1,476,526

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Combined Balance Sheet
All Fund Types and Account Groups As of September 30
(In Thousands)

Continued

	G	Fiduciary Fund Types								
	Special	Revenue	Debt	Debt Service		Trust		Agend		
	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	20	00	1	999
Fund Balances:										
Investment in general fixed assets (Note 7)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	
Reserved for encumbrances	67,862	62,311								
Reserved for unencumbered restricted										
revenue balances	212,221	86,388								
Reserved for unencumbered capital outlay										
and work projects	92,604	148,572								
Reserved for construction and debt service	35,901	35,905								
Reserved for revolving loans	28,387	21,919								
Reserved for noncurrent assets	25,315	25,963								
Total Reserved	\$ 462,290	\$ 381,058	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0
Unreserved	56,783	59,067								
Total Fund Balances	\$ 519,072	\$ 440,126	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$ 1,099,381	\$ 988,528	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417	\$	0	\$	246

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

Account Groups General Totals **General Fixed Assets Long-Term Obligations** (Memorandum Only) 1999 1999 1999 2000 2000 2000 \$ \$ \$ 215,343 208,664 \$ 215,343 \$ 208,664 67,862 62,311 212,221 86,388 92,604 148,572 35,901 35,905 28,387 21,919 25,315 25,963 215,343 \$ 208,664 0 \$ 677,633 589,722 56,783 59,067 215,343 \$ 208,664 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 734,416 \$ 648,789

**\$** 877,205 **\$** 896,461 **\$** 2,230,710 **\$** 

2,125,315

215,343 \$

208,664

Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
All Governmental Fund Types and Expendable Trust Funds
Fiscal Years Ended September 30
(In Thousands)

	Special	Revenue	Debt Se	ervice
	2000	1999	2000	1999
REVENUES				
Taxes	\$ 1,898,995	\$ 1,847,841	\$	\$
Licenses and permits	76,095	75,995		
Federal aid	793,797	614,134		
Local participation	44,102	56,602		
Interest earnings	34,865	29,499		
Nonoperating revenue - bridges	2,389	2,400		
Miscellaneous	32,118	36,205	1	1
Total Revenues	\$ 2,882,361	\$ 2,662,676	\$ 1	\$ 1
EXPENDITURES				
Administration and Operations:				
Administration and maintenance	\$ 347,351	\$ 335,991	\$	\$
Bus operating assistance grants	159,320	161,628		
Other grants	1,070,996	1,055,114		
Airport development	83,283	89,990		
Nonoperating expenditures - bridges	2,367	2,400		
Trust fund construction activity				
Capital lease payments	413	405		
Bond principal retirement			26,040	38,150
Bond interest and fiscal charges			42,377	41,532
Total Administration and Operations	\$ 1,663,730	\$ 1,645,528	\$ 68,417	\$ 79,682
Capital Outlay:				
Roads and bridges	\$ 1,085,383	\$ 992,991	\$	\$
Other capital outlay	12,192	24,597		
Total Capital Outlay	\$ 1,097,576	\$ 1,017,588	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total Expenditures	\$ 2,761,307	\$ 2,663,116	\$ 68,417	\$ 79,682
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ 121,054	\$ (440)	\$ (68,416)	\$ (79,681)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$ 784,553	\$ 765,755	\$	\$
Grants and transfers from other funds and component units	258,938	153,326	68,417	79,682
Capital lease acquisitions		755		
Total Other Financing Sources	\$ 1,043,490	\$ 919,836	\$ 68,417	\$ 79,682

This statement continued on next page.

Fiduciary F	und Types	Totals					
Tru	ıst	(Memorandum Only)					
2000	1999	2000	1999				
\$	\$	\$ 1,898,996	\$ 1,847,841				
		76,094	75,995				
166,468	115,884	960,264	730,017				
46,504	43,096	90,605	99,697				
		34,866	29,500				
		2,389	2,400				
492	48	32,611	36,255				
\$ 213,464	\$ 159,028	\$ 3,095,825	\$ 2,821,705				
<b>c</b>	<b>c</b>	Ф 247.252	Ф 225 004				
\$	\$	\$ 347,352	\$ 335,991				
		159,320	161,627 1,055,116				
		1,070,996					
		83,283 2,367	89,990 2,400				
224 402	150 605	2,367					
224,493	158,605	413	158,605 405				
		26,040	38,150				
		42,377	41,532				
\$ 224,493	\$ 158,605	\$ 1,956,641	\$ 1,883,816				
Ψ 221,100	Ψ 100,000	Ψ 1,000,011	Ψ 1,000,010				
\$	\$	\$ 1,085,383	\$ 992,991				
		12,192	24,597				
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,097,575	\$ 1,017,588				
\$ 224,493	\$ 158,605	\$ 3,054,216	\$ 2,901,404				
\$ (11,029)	\$ 423	\$ 41,609	\$ (79,699)				
\$	\$	\$ 784,553	\$ 765,755				
11,216	114	338,570	233,122				
		0	755				
\$ 11,216	\$ 114	\$ 1,123,123	\$ 999,632				

Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
All Governmental Fund Types and Expendable Trust Funds
Fiscal Years Ended September 30
(In Thousands)
Continued

	Governmental Fund Types						
	Special	Revenue	Debt S	Service			
	2000	1999	2000	1999			
OTHER FINANCING USES							
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$ 784,553	\$ 765,755	\$	\$			
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units	232,627	216,370	1	1			
Debt service	68,417	79,682					
Total Other Financing Uses	\$ 1,085,598	\$ 1,061,807	\$ 1	\$ 1			
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under)							
Other Financing Uses	\$ (42,108)	\$ (141,971)	\$ 68,416	\$ 79,681			
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over							
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ 78,947	\$ (142,410)	\$ 0	\$ 0			
Fund balances - Beginning of fiscal year	440,126	582,536					
Fund balances - End of fiscal year	\$ 519,072	\$ 440,126 \$	\$ 0	\$_\$0			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

 Fiduciary Fu	und T	ypes	To	otals				
 Tru	st		 (Memorandum Only)					
 2000	1	999	 2000	1999				
\$	\$		\$ 784,553	\$	765,755			
187		537	232,816		216,908			
 			 68,417		79,682			
\$ 187	\$	537	\$ 1,085,786	\$	1,062,345			
 _		_						
\$ 11,029	\$	(423)	\$ 37,337	\$	(62,714)			
\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 78,947	\$	(142,410)			
 			 440,126		582,536			
\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 519,072	\$	440,126			

#### Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual (Note 2) Special Revenue Funds

#### Fiscal Years Ended September 30

(In Thousands)

		2000	
	•		Variance
			Favorable
	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)
(Statutory/Budgetary Basis)			
REVENUES			
Taxes	\$ 1,898,995	\$ 1,898,995	\$ 0
Licenses and permits	76,095	76,095	0
Federal aid	772,688	772,688	0
Local participation	43,863	43,974	111
Interest earnings	32,909	32,909	0
Nonoperating revenue - bridges	2,389	2,389	0
Miscellaneous	30,747	32,099	1,352
Total Revenues	\$ 2,857,685	\$ 2,859,148	\$ 1,463
EXPENDITURES AND ENCUMBRANCES			
Administration and Operations:			
Administration and maintenance	\$ 412,191	\$ 371,046	\$ 41,145
Bus operating assistance grants	161,526	159,362	2,164
Other grants	1,190,003	1,112,509	77,494
Airport development	85,982	84,569	1,413
Nonoperating expenditures - bridges	2,389	2,367	22
Total Administration and Operations	\$ 1,852,091	\$ 1,729,853	\$ 122,238
Capital Outlay:			
Roads and bridges	\$ 1,059,042	\$ 1,055,625	\$ 3,417
Other capital outlay	41,538	12,446	29,092
Total Capital Outlay	\$ 1,100,580	\$ 1,068,071	\$ 32,509
Total Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$ 2,952,671	\$ 2,797,924	\$ 154,747
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$ (94,985)	\$ 61,225	\$ 156,210
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$ 784,553	\$ 784,553	\$ 0
Grants and transfers from other funds and component units	192,617	255,517	62,900
Total Other Financing Sources	\$ 977,170	\$ 1,040,070	\$ 62,900
OTHER FINANCING USES			
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$ 785,352	\$ 784,554	\$ 798
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units	229,610	230,730	(1,120)
Debt service	68,418	68,416	2
Total Other Financing Uses	\$ 1,083,380	\$ 1,083,700	\$ (320)
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Other Financing Uses	\$ (106,210)	\$ (43,630)	\$ 62,580
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under)			
Expenditures, Encumbrances, and Other Financing Uses	\$ (201,197)	\$ 17,594	\$ 218,791

This statement continued on next page.

			1999			
				Variance		
				F	Favorable	
	Budget		Actual	(Un	favorable)	
\$	1,847,841	\$	1,847,841	\$	0	
	75,995		75,995		0	
	592,973		592,973		0	
	56,113		56,113		0	
	28,676		28,676		0	
	2,400		2,400		0	
	34,911		34,911		0	
\$	2,638,909	\$	2,638,909	\$	0	
\$	386,353	\$	355,206	\$	31,147	
	163,362		163,285		77	
	1,156,242		1,091,760		64,482	
	93,317		91,370		1,947	
	2,400		2,400		0	
\$	1,801,674	\$	1,704,021	\$	97,653	
\$	936,702	\$	936,149	\$	553	
Ψ	41,109	Ψ	24,983	φ	16,126	
\$	977,811	\$	961,133	\$	16,678	
Ψ	311,011	Ψ	901,133	Ψ	10,070	
\$	2,779,485	\$	2,665,154	\$	114,331	
				•		
\$	(140,576)	\$	(26,245)	\$	114,331	
\$	765,755	\$	765,755	\$	0	
	149,893		146,740		(3,153)	
\$	915,649	\$	912,495	\$	(3,153)	
\$	765,756	\$	765,755	\$	1	
•	224,032	•	216,073	•	7,959	
	83,079		79,682		3,397	
\$	1,072,867	\$	1,061,510	\$	11,357	
_						
\$	(157,219)	\$	(149,015)	\$	8,204	
\$	(297,794)	\$	(175,257)	\$	122,537	
			·			

Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual (Note 2) Special Revenue Funds

#### Fiscal Years Ended September 30

(In Thousands)

Continued

	2000		
RECONCILING ITEMS	Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Encumbrances at September 30		\$ 67,862	
Funds not annually budgeted		(6,510)	
Net Reconciling Items		\$ 61,352	
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (GAAP Basis)		\$ 78,947	
FUND BALANCES (GAAP BASIS)			
Beginning balances		\$ 440,126	
Ending balances		\$ 519,071	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

	1999	
Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
	\$ 62,311 (29,463)	
	\$ 32,848	
	\$ (142,410)	
	\$ 582,536	
	\$ 440,126	

#### **Notes to the Financial Statements**

#### Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies

#### a. Reporting Entity

These financial statements report the financial activity of transportation related funds administered by the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). Accordingly, these financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the State of Michigan or its special revenue, debt service, expendable trust, or agency funds. MDOT-administered funds by classification are:

#### Special Revenue Funds

State Aeronautics Fund

State Trunkline Fund

Michigan Transportation Fund

Comprehensive Transportation Fund

Combined State Trunkline Fund Bond Proceeds Fund

Combined Comprehensive Transportation Bond Proceeds Fund

#### Debt Service Funds

Combined State Trunkline Bond and Interest Redemption Fund Combined Comprehensive Transportation Bond and Interest Redemption Fund

### Expendable Trust Fund

Transportation Related Trust Fund

#### Agency Fund

Metropolitan Planning Fund

The Metropolitan Planning Fund was closed to the Transportation Related Trust Fund at the end of fiscal year 1999-2000. Changes in the financial activities of the Metropolitan Planning Fund subsequently changed MDOT's role from a custodial agent to a trustee.

These funds are a part of the State of Michigan reporting entity and are reported on in the *State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (SOMCAFR)*. The *SOMCAFR* provides general disclosures regarding Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Treasurer's Common Cash, Pension Benefits and Other Postemployment Benefits, Compensated Absences (annual leave and sick leave accumulations), General Long-Term Obligations, Interfund Receivables and Payables, and Contingencies and Commitments.

On September 1, 2000, the International Bridge Authority paid off the 40year bonds that financed the construction of the International Bridge at Sault St. Marie, Michigan. As a result, the International Bridge Authority has been dissolved, and MDOT and the St. Mary's River Bridge Company (SMRBC) of Canada will share ownership of the International Bridge. A 40-year intergovernmental agreement between MDOT and SMRBC went into effect on September 1, 2000. This agreement formed the Joint International Bridge Authority (JIBA) and the International Bridge Administration (IBA). JIBA is a nonprofit organization that will have six members. The Governor of Michigan will select three members and three members will be selected by SMRBC, which is controlled by the Minister of Transport. IBA is made up of MDOT employees who will be responsible for the administration, operation, repair, and improvement of the International Bridge. Revenue from bridge tolls will cover the expenses of IBA. Neither owner is required to provide financial support for the bridge.

Summary financial information for the International Bridge Authority as of its fiscal year ended August 31, 2000, with comparative information for the fiscal year ended August 31, 1999, follows (in thousands):

	As of August 31				
	2000	1999			
Assets	\$ 4,093	\$ 4,751			
Liabilities	\$ 1,675	\$ 1,267			
Total Equity	\$ 2,418*	\$ 3,484			
Total Revenues and Other Sources	\$ 7,996	\$ 8,176			
Total Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ 9,019	\$ 8,582			
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over					
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ (1,023)	\$ (406)			
Fixed Assets (cost)	\$ 5,162	\$ 4,917			
Long-Term Debt (Bonds Payable)		\$ 2,805			
Long-Term Debt (Accrued Compensated Absences)	\$ 310	\$ 320			

<sup>\*</sup> Total Equity includes an adjustment for approximately \$43,000 for prior period expenditures related to service fees for State of Michigan payroll processing.

The Mackinac Bridge Authority, a component unit of the State of Michigan, is reported in the *SOMCAFR* and is not reported in these financial statements. The Mackinac Bridge Authority separately issues its own audited financial statements. These statements may be obtained by directly contacting the Mackinac Bridge Authority at (906) 643-7600.

Further information regarding the relationship between MDOT and the Mackinac Bridge Authority is provided in Note 9 of this report.

#### b. Basis of Presentation

The financial transactions of MDOT are recorded in individual funds in the State's central accounting system. The various transportation funds are combined in the *SOMCAFR* into fund types described as follows:

#### Governmental Fund Types

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u>: This fund group includes operating funds that account for the proceeds of certain specific revenue sources, which are legally restricted for specified purposes.

<u>Debt Service Funds</u>: This fund group accounts for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal and interest.

#### Fiduciary Fund Types

<u>Trust and Agency Funds</u>: This fund group includes assets held by MDOT in a trustee or agency capacity. MDOT uses two types: (a) expendable trust funds, which are accounted for similar to governmental funds; and (b) agency funds, which are purely custodial in nature and for which asset and liability balances, but not operating results, are included within these financial statements.

#### Account Groups

<u>General Fixed Assets Group</u>: This account group is used to account for fixed assets (i.e., land, buildings, and equipment) owned by MDOT. Infrastructure ("public domain") fixed assets, such as undeveloped Stateowned lands, roads, and bridges, are not capitalized.

<u>General Long-Term Obligations Group</u>: This account group accounts for all of the long-term obligations of MDOT, except for those accounted for directly in a fund.

#### Totals (Memorandum Only)

Amounts in the "Totals (Memorandum Only)" columns represent summations of the fund types and account groups and are presented only for analytical purposes. The summations include interfund transactions that have not been eliminated and the caption "amounts to be provided," which is not an asset in the usual sense. Consequently, amounts shown in the "Totals (Memorandum Only)" columns are not comparable to a consolidation.

#### c. Basis of Accounting

The financial statements contained in this report are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The modified accrual basis of

accounting, fiscal year-ends, and accounting practices are explained in more detail in the *SOMCAFR*.

#### d. Reserved Fund Balance

A comparative analysis of the State Trunkline Fund reserved fund balance at September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 follows (in thousands):

	As of September 30				
	2000	1999			
Reserves:					
Capital Outlay:					
Facilities	\$ 32,932	\$ 32,768			
Institutional roads	1,079	1,423			
Critical bridge		747			
Road and bridge	41,211	104,598			
Total Capital Outlay Reserves	\$ 75,222	\$ 139,537			
Encumbrances	\$ 23,317	\$ 19,570			
Restricted revenue	\$ 210,312	\$ 83,248			
Work projects	\$ 6,507	\$ 1,004			
Revolving Loan Program	\$ 13,987	\$ 10,356			
Construction and debt service	\$ 35,901	\$ 35,905			
Noncurrent Assets:					
Capital equipment loans	\$ 16,319	\$ 15,140			
Maintenance advances	7,645	10,044			
Local unit loans		236			
Total Noncurrent Assets	\$ 23,964	\$ 25,420			
Total Reserved Fund Balance	\$ 389,209	\$ 315,039			

#### Note 2 Budgeting and Budgetary Control

The SOMCAFR provides disclosures regarding budgetary control. The budget column of the budget and actual statement represents legislative authorization after adjustments for carry-overs, transfers, and restricted revenue shortfalls. "Favorable variances" generally reflect unused general purpose spending authority ("lapses") and/or unused restricted revenue authority, which carry over as a reservation of fund balance and/or general purpose revenue exceeding estimates. "Unfavorable variances" reflect either general purpose revenue estimate shortfalls or budgetary overdrafts. If both favorable and

unfavorable variances exist for a particular line, the amount shown is the net variance.

In fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99, amounts were appropriated in the Blue Water Bridge Fund for operational costs and for partial repayment of the loan due to the State Trunkline Fund. A \$2.5 million repayment of the loan was made in fiscal year 1999-2000. A \$3.7 million repayment of the loan was made in fiscal year 1998-99. The repayment reduced amounts due to other funds. No expenditures were incurred and the related appropriation lapsed.

#### Note 3 Current Receivables

#### a. Contested and Delinquent Receivables

Current receivables recorded in the financial statements represent amounts due to MDOT as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 and will be collected within 12 months. Receivables not due for collection within 12 months are classified as long-term assets with an offsetting deferred revenue or fund balance reserve. Because of the uncertainty associated with contested receivables in litigation or pending litigation and delinquent receivables referred to a third party for collection, these contested and delinquent receivables are recorded in an allowance for doubtful accounts, with the net amount reported in the financial statements (in thousands).

The following provides information regarding contested and delinquent receivables as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 (in thousands):

	Contested Receivables		Delinquent F	Receivables	Fund Total		
	As of Sept	ember 30	As of Sept	ember 30	As of Sept	ember 30	
	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	
State Aeronautics Fund	\$ 6,480	\$ 450	\$	\$	\$ 6,480	\$ 450	
State Trunkline Fund	43	154	3,025	1,099	3,068	1,253	
Comprehensive Transportation Fund	3,000	3,000	700	964	3,700	3,964	
Combined State Trunkline Fund Bond							
Proceeds Fund			4		4		
Transportation Related Trust Fund			24	5	24	5	
Total Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 9,523	\$ 3,604	\$ 3,753	\$ 2,068	\$13,276	\$ 5,672	

#### b. Taxes Receivable

The net receivable for motor fuel taxes in the Michigan Transportation Fund consisted of gross receivables of \$186.4 million and \$162.5 million and allowances for uncollectible receivables of \$85.4 million and \$60.0 million as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, respectively. The reporting of a gross receivable net of a related allowance for uncollectible accounts is consistent with the treatment of other taxes receivable reported in the *SOMCAFR*.

#### c. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Receivable

MDOT recorded federal aid receivables in the State Trunkline Fund, Combined State Trunkline Fund Bond Proceeds Fund, Transportation Related Trust Fund, and Metropolitan Planning Fund totaling \$132.5 million and \$105.1 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, respectively. Of those amounts, \$66.9 million and \$42.0 million, respectively, were recorded from the "To-Be-Billed Summary." The "To-Be-Billed Summary" consists primarily of project costs that exceeded the contract amounts agreed to by FHWA and represent federal funds earned by MDOT but not requested for reimbursement. Consistent with past practices, contract amounts will be increased as federal aid becomes available. No long-term federal aid receivables were recorded for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000.

#### d. Advanced Construction

Under an arrangement with FHWA, MDOT has, over a period of years, qualified a number of construction projects without placing them under a reimbursement agreement. These deferred federal aid projects may be converted to current reimbursement at the option of MDOT, provided that there are adequate federal aid allocations. The arrangement was worked out between the states and the federal government so that the states could obtain federal reimbursement not received during the construction period in case a relatively greater amount of federal aid became available at some later date or to assist in balancing the federal aid from year to year. At the end of fiscal year 1998-99, MDOT had \$210.2 million of State Trunkline Fund expenditures on projects not under a reimbursement agreement. The fiscal year 1998-99 expenditures were placed under a reimbursement agreement by the end of fiscal year 1999-2000. At the end of fiscal year 1999-2000, MDOT had \$119.5 million of State Trunkline

Fund expenditures on projects not placed under a reimbursement agreement. MDOT expects to place these fiscal year 1999-2000 expenditures under a reimbursement agreement in fiscal year 2000-01. During both fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99, MDOT obligated all of its federal aid.

#### Note 4 General Long-Term Obligations

#### a. Bonded Debt

Revenue Dedicated Debt: MDOT has periodically issued long-term bonds for specific purposes with the stipulation that financing of debt requirements is to come strictly from designated revenue sources. The State of Michigan's general credit does not support such issues. Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, provides that money deposited in the State Trunkline Fund and/or the Comprehensive Transportation Fund is appropriated for specific purposes in order of priority. A sufficient portion of the State Trunkline Fund and the Comprehensive Transportation Fund is irrevocably appropriated to pay, when due, the principal of and interest outstanding on bonds and notes. The State Trunkline Series 1989 A and the State Trunkline Series 1992 A and B bond issues included capital appreciation bonds (zero coupon bonds) with an ultimate maturity value of \$35.7 million and \$97.7 million, respectively. These bonds are recorded in the amounts of \$23.3 million and \$57.4 million, respectively, which are the accreted values at September 30, 2000. These bonds mature in the years 2004 to 2009 and 2005 to 2012, respectively.

Revenue dedicated bonds issued and outstanding (excluding defeased bonds) at September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 (in thousands) are as follows:

Revenue Dedicated Debt (in thousands)

	Outsta			stand	ding at	Matu	Average	
	Amoun	ts	Sep	temb	oer 30	First	Last	Interest
	Issued	l	2000		1999	Year	Year	Rate
Comprehensive Transportation Fund Bonds:								
1985 (Series B Refunding)	\$ 57,8	31	\$	\$	1,572	1985	2000	8.53%
1992 (Series A and B)	164,9	65	142,410	)	150,230	1996	2022	5.96%
1996 (Series A Refunding)	22,6	50	22,43	5	22,510	1998	2014	5.42%
1998 (Series A Refunding)	38,6	40	38,640	)	38,640	2004	2010	4.66%
State Trunkline Fund Bonds:								
1989 (Series A)	135,7	79	23,30	5	21,772	1994	2017	6.97%
1992 (Series A and B)	353,2	10	178,559	9	184,558	1999	2021	6.16%
1994 (Series A and B)	240,9	90	42,345	5	49,865	1994	2007	5.53%
1996 (Series A)	54,5	00	11,120	)	11,905	1997	2009	5.71%
1998 (Series A Refunding)	377,8	90	377,89	)	377,890	2005	2026	5.09%
Total Revenue Dedicated Debt	\$1,446,4	55	\$ 836,70	4 \$	858,941			

Advance Refunding and Defeasance: MDOT has issued refunding bond issues to refinance the advance refunding of selected bond issues. A portion of the proceeds of the refunding issues was placed in trust and used to purchase securities of the U.S. government and related agencies at various interest rates and maturities sufficient to meet all debt service requirements of the refunded debt. These assets are administered by a trustee and are restricted for the retirement of the refunded debt. The liability for the refunded bonds and the related securities and escrow accounts are not included in the accompanying financial statements, as MDOT defeased its obligation for payment of the refunded bonded debt upon completion of the refunding transaction.

The following table summarizes the defeased bonds outstanding at September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999:

## Summary of Refunding Transactions (in millions)

			Balance V At Sept		
	Amount Refunded		2000	19	999
Comprehensive Transportation Fund Bonds:					
1985 (Capital Appreciation Bonds)	\$	27.2	\$	\$	6.1
1992 (Series A)		5.3	5.1		5.1
State Trunkline Fund Bonds:					
1992 (Series A - Partial)	•	134.7	131.2	,	131.2
1992 (Series B - Partial)		56.8	56.8		56.8
1994 (Series A - Partial)	•	112.8	112.8	,	112.8
1996 (Series A - Partial)		41.2	41.2		41.2
Total	\$ 3	378.0	\$ 347.1	\$ :	353.2

## b. Other General Long-Term Obligations

<u>Capital Leases</u>: Capitalized lease liabilities are described in more detail in Note 5.

<u>Compensated Absences</u>: Compensated absences liabilities are detailed in Note 6b.

<u>Claims and Judgments</u>: The liability recorded for claims and judgments consists of projected amounts for highway-related negligence cases based upon historical loss ratios. MDOT continues to contest all of these claims and MDOT may incur no liability in the individual cases involved. Therefore, the allowance for litigation losses may be overstated (to the extent that losses do not occur) or understated (if the losses exceed the projected amounts).

<u>Changes in General Long-Term Obligations:</u> Changes in general long-term obligations for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

Revenue Ded	icated Debt -	Capital Lease			
Oversigh	nt Entity	Obligations			
As of Sept	ember 30	As of September 30			
2000	1999	2000	1999		
\$858,941	\$894,010	\$1,705	\$1,395		
		43	310		
3,803	3,081				
(26,040)	(38,150)				
\$836,704	\$858,941	\$1,748	\$1,705		
	·				
		Compensate	ed Absences		
Claims and	Judgments	Liabilities			
As of Sep	tember 30	As of September 30			
2000	1999	2000	1999		
\$ 3,788	\$ 10,304	\$ 32,027	\$ 32,469		
2,118*	(6,516)	820	(442)		
\$ 5,906	\$ 3,788	\$ 32,847	\$ 32,027		
	Oversight As of Sept 2000  \$858,941  3,803  (26,040)  \$836,704  Claims and As of Sept 2000  \$ 3,788  2,118*	\$858,941 \$894,010  3,803 3,081  (26,040) (38,150)  \$836,704 \$858,941   Claims and Judgments     As of September 30  2000 1999  \$ 3,788 \$ 10,304  2,118* (6,516)	Oversight Entity         Obligation           As of September 30         As of September 30           2000         1999         2000           \$858,941         \$894,010         \$1,705           43         3,803         3,081           (26,040)         (38,150)         \$1,748           \$836,704         \$858,941         \$1,748           Claims and Judgments         Liabition         As of September 30           As of September 30         As of September 30         \$3,788           \$3,788         \$10,304         \$32,027           2,118*         (6,516)         820		

<sup>\*</sup> In addition, MDOT has one additional lawsuit on appeal in the amount of \$12.7 million.

#### Note 5 Leases

MDOT leases land, office facilities, office and computer equipment, and other assets under noncancelable leasing arrangements. Most leases have cancellation clauses with 1- to 6-month notice requirements in the event that funding is not available. For reporting purposes, such cancellation clauses are not considered in the determination of whether a lease is cancelable because the likelihood that such clauses will be exercised is considered remote. Leases that are in the nature of acquisitions are classified as "capital" leases; therefore, assets and liabilities are recorded at lease inception. Other leases are classified as "operating" leases, and these are treated as rent commitments rather than acquisitions.

Rental expenditures incurred under operating leases totaled \$1,302,003 and \$850,952 during fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99, respectively.

Summaries of the noncancelable operating and capital lease commitments to maturity for fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99 follow (in thousands):

#### Noncancelable Lease Commitments Fiscal Year 1999-2000

Capital Leases Fiscal Year Ended Operating Executory September 30 Leases Principal Interest Costs Total \$ 224 177 \$ \$ 2001 \$ 1,127 117 518 2002 694 218 141 110 469 2003 574 120 186 97 403 2004 271 127 106 298 66 2005 156 140 93 66 298 2006 - 2012 854 226 302 1,381 \$ 758 \$ 3,367 Total 2,821 1,748 861

### Noncancelable Lease Commitments Fiscal Year 1998-99

Capital Leases Fiscal Year Ended Operating Executory Leases Costs Total September 30 Principal Interest 2000 \$ 850 \$ 191 \$ 256 \$ 119 \$ 567 2001 480 218 218 117 553 2002 435 213 181 110 504 97 2003 328 158 149 404 2004 72 82 128 66 276 2005 - 2012 843 433 368 1.644 Total \$ 2.165 1.705 1.365 \$ 877 3,948

All of the preceding capital leases are related to governmental fund operations and the total of capital lease principal is recorded as part of the general long-term obligations account group.

The historical cost of assets acquired under capital leases included in the general fixed assets account group at September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 follows (in thousands):

	As of September 30					
	2000	1999				
Buildings	\$2,332	\$2,332				

#### Note 6 Employee Benefits - Retirement and Compensated Absences

#### a. Retirement Contributions

MDOT employees are members of the State Employees' Retirement System. Retirement contributions are transferred from MDOT's special revenue funds to the State Employees' Retirement Fund.

MDOT's retirement contributions were as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year				
	1999-2000	1998-99			
State Trunkline Fund	\$ 15,827	\$ 14,481			
Comprehensive Transportation Fund	601	526			
State Aeronautics Fund	349	322			
Total MDOT Contributions	\$ 16,777	\$ 15,329			

### b. <u>Compensated Absences</u>

MDOT has accrued liabilities for compensated absences as required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Liabilities related to governmental fund types are recorded in the general long-term obligations account group in the *SOMCAFR*, unless the liability is "due and payable" (i.e., liabilities are recognized in the year that the employee leaves the State service) at year-end. The amount "due and payable" is reflected in the "Accounts payable" line of the balance sheet.

The following table summarizes MDOT related compensated absences liabilities as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 (in thousands):

	Sick Leave		Annual	Leave	Total		
	As of September 30		As of Sept	tember 30	As of September 30		
	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	
State Trunkline Fund	\$16,925	\$16,911	\$13,590	\$12,886	\$30,515	\$29,797	
Comprehensive Transportation Fund	735	735	541	501	1,275	1,236	
State Aeronautics Fund	546	523	347	324	893	847	
Blue Water Bridge Fund	82	77	82	70	164	147	
Total	\$18,288	\$18,247	\$14,560	\$13,781	\$32,847	\$32,027	

If an MDOT employee transfers to another department, the related compensated absence liability is assumed by the employee's new department.

For a more detailed explanation of retirement benefits and compensated absence accruals, refer to the *SOMCAFR*.

## Note 7 General Fixed Assets

<u>Classification</u>: The following tables summarize, by major class of asset, the fiscal year 1999-2000 and 1998-99 changes in recorded costs for the general fixed assets account group (in millions):

Dolongo

Changes in General Fixed Assets Fiscal Year 1999-2000

Polonoo

Ba	alance							Ba	liance
Oct	ober 1,							Septe	mber 30,
1	1999		ditions	Del	etions	Adjus	tments	2	2000
\$	10.3	\$		\$		\$	.5	\$	10.8
	91.1		4.2				2.0		97.3
	101.9		15.8		15.5		5.0		107.2
	0								0
	5.4				5.4				0
\$	208.7	\$	20.0	\$	20.9	\$	7.5	\$	215.3
	Oct	\$ 10.3 91.1 101.9 0 5.4	October 1,  1999  \$ 10.3  91.1  101.9  0  5.4	October 1,  1999  \$ 10.3  91.1 4.2  101.9 5.4	October 1,  1999	October 1,         1999       Additions       Deletions         \$ 10.3       \$       \$         91.1       4.2       4.2         101.9       15.8       15.5         0       5.4       5.4	October 1,         1999       Additions       Deletions       Adjust         \$ 10.3       \$       \$         91.1       4.2       4.2         101.9       15.8       15.5         0       5.4       5.4	October 1,         1999       Additions       Deletions       Adjustments         \$ 10.3       \$       \$       5.5         91.1       4.2       2.0         101.9       15.8       15.5       5.0         0       5.4       5.4	October 1,         1999         Additions         Deletions         Adjustments         2           \$ 10.3         \$         \$         \$         \$           91.1         4.2         2.0           101.9         15.8         15.5         5.0           0         5.4         5.4

### Changes in General Fixed Assets Fiscal Year 1998-99

	Ba	alance							Ва	alance
	Oct	ober 1,							Septe	ember 30,
	1	1998		Additions Deletions		Deletions		stments		1999
Land	\$	9.4	\$		\$		\$	.9	\$	10.3
Buildings		88.1		.8				2.2		91.1
Equipment		100.7		16.4		14.9		(.3)		101.9
Construction in progress		0								0
Equity interest in joint ventures		5.2						.2		5.4
Total General Fixed Assets	\$	203.4	\$	17.2	\$	14.9	\$	3.0	\$	208.7

<u>Funding Source</u>: Following is a summary of funding sources for investment in general fixed assets as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 (in millions):

	Investment			
	As of September 30			
	2000	1999		
State Trunkline Fund	\$183.7	\$184.1		
Comprehensive Transportation Fund	17.8	11.0		
State Aeronautics Fund	13.8	13.6		
Total Investment in General Fixed Assets	\$215.3	\$208.7		

<u>Construction in Progress</u>: Following is summary information regarding projects included in construction in progress as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 (in millions):

			As o	f Septem	ber 30,	2000
	Est	imated				_
	(	Cost	Auth	orized	Expe	ended
Various Projects	\$	5.2	\$	5.2		
Totals	\$	5.2	\$	5.2	\$	0
			As o	f Septem	ber 30,	1999
	Est	imated				
	(	Cost	Auth	orized	Expe	ended
Various Projects	\$	8.0	\$	5.9	\$	
Totals	\$	8.0	\$	5.9	\$	0

In addition to the preceding projects, MDOT has planned other construction projects that were unfunded as of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999.

The costs of these projects, as well as the unfunded portion of projects currently in progress, will be funded from future years' resources.

### Note 8 Excess of Expenditures Over Appropriation

Budgetary control for State Trunkline Fund, State Aeronautics Fund, Michigan Transportation Fund, and Comprehensive Transportation Fund expenditures is established by line-item appropriation within each fund's total appropriation.

MDOT incurred no overexpenditures in fiscal year 1999-2000 or in fiscal year 1998-99.

## Note 9 <u>Interfund Commitments, Receivables and Payables - State Trunkline Fund and</u> Michigan Transportation Fund

The Mackinac Bridge Authority, which is reported as a governmental component unit in the *SOMCAFR*, has over the years received \$75.3 million of subsidies for operations (\$12.3 million) and debt service (\$63.0 million). These

subsidies were provided by the State Trunkline Fund and the Michigan Transportation Fund, respectively, both of which are special revenue funds. The Authority redeemed its remaining bonds on July 1, 1986.

State statutes require that the Authority continue charging bridge tolls and begin repaying the State Trunkline Fund and the Michigan Transportation Fund for the subsidies provided. These repayments would continue until such time as the subsidies have been completely returned. Executive Order No. 1986-14 created the Governor's Mackinac Bridge Task Force to develop an advisory proposal concerning reimbursement of the subsidies, future funding of repair and renovation costs, and the bridge toll structure. The Authority has not recorded a liability, and the State funds have not recorded receivables for these subsidies, because the reimbursements are contingent upon future net revenues and because the repayment commitment is long-term and budgetary in nature. Repayments may be authorized by the Authority after consideration of the Authority's annual needs for its operations and planned repairs and improvements.

The Authority repaid \$7.5 million in fiscal year 1992-93, \$1.0 million in fiscal year 1994-95, \$250,000 in fiscal year 1997-98, \$250,000 in fiscal year 1998-99, and \$250,000 in fiscal year 1999-2000 to the Michigan Transportation Fund. At September 30, 2000, a balance of \$53.8 million was owed to the Michigan Transportation Fund and a balance of \$12.3 million was owed to the State Trunkline Fund.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000, *SOMCAFR* reported transactions with component units, including the Mackinac Bridge Authority, separately from other funds. A receivable for \$911,000 and \$1,269,000 for fiscal years 1999-2000 and 1998-99, respectively, related to the Mackinac Bridge Authority's payroll transactions is recorded in the State Trunkline Fund's balance sheet as amounts due from component units. The amount due from the Mackinac Bridge Authority and other transactions between MDOT and

component units and other funds of the State of Michigan are shown in the following table (in thousands):

		State Tru	nkline l	Fund	Mi	chigan Tran	sporta	tion Fund
	Α	s of and for	the Fis	cal Year	Α	s of and for	the Fig	scal Year
		Ended Se	eptembe	er 30		Ended Se	ptemb	er 30
		2000		1999		2000		1999
Current Assets:	-				-		-	
Amounts due from other funds	\$	37,313	\$	48,976	\$		\$	
Amounts due from component units		911		1,269				
Total	\$	38,224	\$	50,245	\$	0	\$	0
Noncurrent Assets:								
Advances to other funds	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Total	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Current Liabilities:								
Due to other funds	\$	1,075	\$	909	\$	47,916	\$	62,894
Total	\$	1,075	\$	909	\$	47,916	\$	62,894
Long-Term Liabilities:								
Advances from other funds	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Total	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Other Financing Sources:								
Transfers from other funds	\$	856,510	\$	738,555	\$	2,307	\$	322
Transfers from component units						250		250
Capital lease acquisitions				755				
Total Other Financing Sources	\$	856,510	\$	739,310	\$	2,557	\$	572
Other Financing Uses:								
Transfers to other funds	\$	12,962	\$	9,245	\$	990,857	\$	965,167
Debt service		47,209		57,751				
Total Other Financing Uses	\$	60,171	\$	66,996	\$	990,857	\$	965,167

Note 10 Contingencies and Commitments

#### a. Litigation

MDOT is party to various legal proceedings seeking damages and other relief, including injunctive or mandatory relief. The ultimate disposition of such legal proceedings is not presently determinable, but such ultimate disposition and consequences of all these legal proceedings will not

themselves, in the opinion of the State of Michigan's Attorney General, have a materially adverse effect on MDOT's financial position.

MDOT accrues liabilities related to significant legal proceedings if a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. In the event that a significant, probable, and reasonably estimable loss is not settled prior to preparation of these statements, the obligation is recorded as a general long-term liability (see Note 4 for more information).

#### b. Federal Grants

Federal revenues are generally subject to review and audit by grantor agencies or their designees. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies for expenditures disallowed under the terms of the grant. As of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, MDOT estimates disallowances of recognized revenues will not be material to the financial statements.

#### c. Construction Projects

MDOT has entered into construction contracts for transportation related special revenue funds and trust funds. As of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, the balances remaining on these contracts equaled \$500.2 million and \$544.4 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, the balances remaining on these contracts, less the trust fund, equaled \$423.6 million and \$455.2 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999, the balances remaining on these contracts in the State Trunkline Fund equaled \$390.2 million and \$422.9 million, respectively.

#### Note 11 Restatements

Fiscal year 1998-99 expenditures and operating transfers from other funds have been restated to more accurately reflect General Fund transfers to the State Aeronautics Fund. In addition, amounts reserved for revolving loans and noncurrent assets within the State Trunkline Fund, Comprehensive Transportation Fund, and State Aeronautics Fund have been restated. MDOT received a waiver from the Office of Financial Management, Department of Management and Budget, granting permission to deviate from the amounts presented in the *SOMCAFR* for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999.

# SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

#### **Descriptions of Special Revenue Funds**

#### MICHIGAN TRANSPORTATION FUND

This Fund, established by Section 10, Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, is administered jointly by the Michigan Department of Transportation and the Department of State. In addition, some of the Fund revenue is collected by the Department of Treasury. The Fund is a receiving fund for the several tax revenues dedicated to highway purposes. Transfers are made to the General Fund to pay the cost of collection of the dedicated revenues and to the State Trunkline Fund, Comprehensive Transportation Fund, Economic Development Fund (a subfund of the State Trunkline Fund), and the Recreation Improvement Fund (a subfund within the General Fund at the Department of Natural Resources) in accordance with statutory formulas. Expenditures consist of grants to counties, cities, and villages for highway purposes in accordance with statutory formulas.

#### STATE TRUNKLINE FUND

This Fund provides for construction and maintenance of highways. Its overall budget is subject to annual legislative review and appropriation, but the State Transportation Commission has significant discretion in determining the funding of individual projects. Major financing sources are transfers from the Michigan Transportation Fund, federal aid, and local participation. Expenditures and transfers are for administration, highway maintenance and construction, debt service, and various contractual obligations. In accordance with statutory provisions, any unencumbered balance at fiscal year-end is transferred to the road and bridge construction account of the Fund.

In fiscal year 1998-99, the Fund was also used to record loans made to local units of government for reconstructing and resurfacing roadways. Funds for such loans are made available by transfer from the 1983 State Trunkline Fund Bond Proceeds Fund and the 1984 State Trunkline Fund Bond Proceeds Fund. Loan repayments, which are received directly by the State Trunkline Fund, are not pledged to the payment of the bonds related to the loans. During fiscal year 1998-99, all loans to locals for reconstructing and resurfacing roadways were repaid with no balance remaining at September 30, 1999.

In fiscal year 1987-88, the Economic Development Fund (EDF), a subfund, was created within the State Trunkline Fund. The statements for the State Trunkline Fund include

the financial activity for EDF. A separate schedule summarizing EDF activity is also included as a supplemental schedule in this report.

The Blue Water Bridge Fund (BWBF) was created as a subfund of the State Trunkline Fund during fiscal year 1993-94 to account for the Blue Water Bridge Project. Through provisions of Section 1012 in the federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, the State Trunkline Fund received federal funds to be loaned to BWBF for construction of the Blue Water Bridge Project. Repayment of the \$45 million loan began in 1998. Repayments of the loan are expected to occur each fiscal year. The term of the loan will not exceed 30 years from the time the loan was obligated. A separate schedule summarizing BWBF activity is also included as a supplemental schedule in this report.

#### COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION FUND

This Fund was created for the purpose of planning, developing, and funding public transportation systems within the State. It was created by Acts 326, 327, and 328, P.A. 1972, and Act 197, P.A. 1973. In 1975, Acts 195, 196, and 239 were enacted, providing further additions and amendments to the laws governing this Fund. Fund revenues consist primarily of federal and local revenues, vehicle-related sales tax, and transfers from the Michigan Transportation Fund. In accordance with statutory provisions, any unencumbered balance at fiscal year-end lapses and reverts to the Fund for appropriation in the following fiscal year.

#### STATE AERONAUTICS FUND

This Fund, established by Act 327, P.A. 1945, administers development and capital improvement projects for local airports. Its budget is subject to annual legislative review and appropriation. Financing consists mostly of federal and local contributions and aviation fuel taxes. Expenditures and transfers are for administration and local airport improvement project costs. In accordance with statutory provisions, any unencumbered balance at fiscal year-end lapses and reverts to the Fund for appropriation in the following fiscal year.

#### COMBINED STATE TRUNKLINE FUND BOND PROCEEDS FUND

This Fund was established pursuant to Section 18(b), Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, to account for the proceeds of State trunkline revenue dedicated bonds. These bonds are being used in part to finance the costs of reconstructing and resurfacing portions of the State trunkline system.

#### COMBINED COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION BOND PROCEEDS FUND

This Fund was established pursuant to Section 18(b), Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, to account for the proceeds of comprehensive transportation revenue dedicated bonds. These bonds are being used to finance part of the construction and acquisition of comprehensive transportation projects.

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Combining Balance Sheet - Special Revenue Funds As of September 30 (In Thousands)

		Mich Transp Fu	ortatio	on	Trur	ate nkline und	Compre Transp Fu	ortation
	20	000		999	2000	1999	2000	1999
ASSETS							-	
Current Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$		\$		\$ 176	\$ 36	\$	\$
Equity in Common Cash Receivables:	177	7,929	19	7,470	383,228	306,517	82,727	63,506
Taxes, interest, and penalties (at net)	9	6,754	9	9,061				
Federal aid					106,424	74,035	6,502	7,160
Local units					17,150	18,281	238	129
Other funds and components units					43,224	53,045	10,603	13,918
Miscellaneous		4,176		3,512	11,064	5,255	837	281
Inventories					6,951	8,568		
Total Current Assets	\$ 278	3,859	\$ 30	0,044	\$ 568,217	\$ 465,737	\$ 100,907	\$ 84,994
Noncurrent Assets: Receivables: Taxes	\$	1,562	\$	753	\$	\$	\$	\$
Federal aid	Ψ	1,002	Ψ	100	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	•
Local units					31,084	31,366	1,997	1,921
Advances to other funds					28,942	33,642	1,001	.,02.
Land contracts					3,776	3,653		
Miscellaneous					3,770	3,033	1.609	1.440
Total Noncurrent Assets	\$	1,562	\$	753	\$ 63,802	\$ 68,661	\$ 3,606	\$ 3,361
Total Noticulient Assets		1,002	Ψ	700	Ψ 05,002	<u> </u>	Ψ 5,000	Ψ 3,001
Total Assets	\$ 280	0,421	\$ 30	0,796	\$ 632,019	\$ 534,398	\$ 104,513	\$ 88,355
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Current Liabilities:								
Warrants outstanding	\$	1,183	\$	1,503	\$ 19,895	\$ 19,678	\$ 555	\$ 1,508
Accounts payable	226	6,971	23	5,647	157,563	134,712	16,302	7,721
Contract reserve payable				,	10,319	8,892	36	68
Due to other funds and component units	4	7,916	6	32,894	6,075	3,709	33	29
Deposits, permits, and other liabilities		.,		,	1,987	1,602	1,242	790
Deferred revenue		2,789			3,502	3,571	69	
Total Current Liabilities		3,859	\$ 30	0,044	\$ 199,341	\$ 172,164	\$ 18,238	\$ 10,116
Long Town Cabillian								
Long-Term Liabilities:	•	4 500	r.	750	e 44507	Ф 40.55°	e 4000	£ 4005
Deferred revenue	\$	1,562	\$	753	\$ 14,527	\$ 13,553	\$ 1,609	\$ 1,235
Advances from other funds	\$ 280	2.404	<b></b>	0.796	28,942	33,642	£ 40.047	£44.054
Total Liabilities	\$ 280	J,4Z I	\$ 30	0,796	\$ 242,810	\$ 219,359	\$ 19,847	\$ 11,351
Fund Balances:								
Reserved for encumbrances	\$		\$		\$ 23,317	\$ 19,570	\$ 43,132	\$41,136
Reserved for unencumbered restricted revenue balances					210,312	83,248	1,760	2,841
Reserved for unencumbered capital outlay and work projects					81,729	140,541	,	,-
Reserved for construction and debt service					35,901	35,905		
Reserved for revolving loans					13,986	10,356	12,200	9,583
Reserved for noncurrent assets					23,964	25,420	,	94
Total Reserved	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 389,209	\$ 315,039	\$ 57,092	\$ 53,654
Unreserved	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$ 27,575	\$ 23,350
Total Fund Balances	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 389,209	\$ 315,039	\$ 84,667	\$77,004
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$ 280	0,421	\$ 30	0,796	\$ 632,019	\$ 534,398	\$ 104,513	\$ 88,355

Aeron	ate nautics ind	St: Trunklir Bond P	bined ate ne Fund roceeds ind	Compre Transp Bond P	bined ehensive ortation roceeds and	Tota	alc
2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 176	\$ 36
13,938	15,656	19,966	15,283	11,696	12,120	689,483	610,553
759	805					97,513	99,866
27,636	1,141	2,262	14,285			142,824	96,621
3,171	3,432	326	534			20,885 53,827	22,376 66,963
89	38	264	271			16,430	9,358
						6,951	8,568
\$ 45,593	\$21,073	\$ 22,819	\$ 30,373	\$ 11,696	\$ 12,120	\$ 1,028,091	\$ 914,340
\$ 129	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,691	\$ 753
1,352	449					1,352	449
839	964					33,920 28,942	34,251 33,642
						3,776	3,653
						1,609	1,440
\$ 2,320	\$ 1,413	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 71,290	\$ 74,188
\$ 47,913	\$ 22,485	\$ 22,819	\$ 30,373	\$ 11,696	\$ 12,120	\$ 1,099,381	\$ 988,528
\$ 411 26,824 840 21 3,698	\$ 222 6,537 694 18	\$ 571 3,109 1,229	\$ 687 4,447 1,103	<b>\$</b>	\$ 139 3	\$ 22,615 430,770 12,424 54,045 3,229 10,455	\$ 23,598 389,203 10,760 66,649 2,392 6,615
\$ 31,794	\$10,118	\$ 5,306	\$ 6,634	\$ 1	\$ 143	\$ 533,539	\$ 499,219
\$ 129	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 17,827 28,942	\$ 15,541 33,642
\$ 31,924	\$ 10,119	\$ 5,306	\$ 6,634	\$ 1	\$ 143	\$ 580,309	\$ 548,402
\$ 1,412 150 10,875	\$ 1,605 300 8,031	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 67,862 212,221 92,604 35,901	\$ 62,311 86,388 148,572 35,905
2,200 1,352	1,981 449					28,387 25,315	21,919 25,963
\$ 15,989	\$ 12,366	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 462,290	\$ 381,058
\$ 15,969	\$ 12,300	\$ 17,512	\$ 23,739	\$ 11,694	\$ 11,978	\$ 56,783	\$ 59,067
\$ 15,990	\$ 12,367	\$ 17,512	\$ 23,739	\$ 11,694	\$ 11,978	\$ 519,072	\$ 440,126
\$ 47,913	\$ 22,485	\$ 22,819	\$ 30,373	\$ 11,696	\$ 12,120	\$ 1,099,381	\$ 988,528

#### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Special Revenue Funds
Fiscal Years Ended September 30
(In Thousands)

		Mich Transp Fu				Sta Trun Fu		•			nensi ortatio	
		2000		1999		2000		1999	2000			1999
REVENUES Taxes	Φ.	4 004 504	•	4 770 040	\$		•		<b>f</b> 00.00		•	00.044
Licenses and permits	Ъ.	1,821,564 55,171	Ъ	1,776,210 56,971	Ъ	20,357	\$	18,553	\$ 69,69		\$	63,241 218
Federal aid		00,171		00,071		696,174		523,295	18,00			18,578
Local participation						32,520		43,302	29	95		81
Interest earnings		13,271		11,024		16,985		15,605	2,00	)5		1,365
Nonoperating revenue - bridges Miscellaneous		8		51		2,389 29,649		2,400 27,560	1,3	22		6,226
Total Revenues	\$	1,890,014	\$	1,844,257	\$	798,074	\$	630,714	\$ 91,59	_	\$	89,709
EXPENDITURES									•			
Administration and Operations:												
Administration and maintenance	\$	28	\$	55	\$	330,898	\$	316,319	\$ 9,8	11	\$	10,872
Bus operating assistance grants									159,32	20	1	61,628
Other grants		901,684		879,607		119,277		130,775	49,02	25		42,342
Airport development  Nonoperating expenditures - bridges						2,367		2,400				
Capital lease payments						413		405				
Total Administration and Operations	\$	901,713	\$	879,662	\$	452,955	\$	449,899	\$ 218,15	6	\$ 2	14,842
Capital Outlay:												
Roads and bridges	\$		\$		\$	1,055,148	\$	935,862	\$		\$	
Other capital outlay						12,139		24,541				
Total Capital Outlay	\$	0	\$	0	\$	1,067,288	\$	960,403	\$	0	\$	0_
Total Expenditures	\$	901,713	\$	879,662	\$	1,520,242	\$	1,410,302	\$ 218,15	6	\$ 2	14,842
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$	988,301	\$	964,595	\$	(722,168)	\$	(779,587)	\$ (126,5)	<u>31)</u>	\$ (1	25,133)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES												
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$		\$		\$	627,699	\$	612,708	\$ 156,85	54	\$ 1	53,047
Grants and transfers from other funds and component units		2,557		572		237,411		132,747	1,19	98		1,234
Capital lease acquisitions Total Other Financing Sources	\$	2,557	\$	572	\$	865,110	\$	755 746,210	\$ 158,05	2	¢ 1	54,281
Total Other Financing Sources	Ψ	2,337	Ψ	512	Ψ.	803,110	<u> </u>	740,210	<u>\$ 150,00</u>	)	Ψ.	34,201
OTHER FINANCING USES												
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$	784,553	\$	765,755	\$	24 502	\$	10 115	\$	20	\$	249
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units  Debt service		206,304		199,412		21,562 47,209		16,145 57,751	2,62 21,20			249
Total Other Financing Uses	\$	990,858	\$	965,167	\$	68,771	\$	73,896	\$ 23,82	_	\$	22,180
·			_									
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Other Financing Uses	\$	(988,301)	\$	(964,595)	\$	796,338	\$	672,314	\$ 134,22	24	\$ 1	32,102
Cities 1 marroing cocc	Ψ	(000,001)	Ψ	(004,000)	Ψ	700,000	Ψ	072,014	Ψ 10-1,22	<u> </u>	Ψ.	02,102
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over	•		•		•	<b></b>	•	(407.074)	A 70		•	
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$	0	\$	0	\$	74,170	\$	(107,274)	\$ 7,60	03	\$	6,969
Fund balances - Beginning of fiscal year						315,039		422,313	77,00	)4		70,035
Fund balances - End of fiscal year	\$	0	\$	0	\$	389,209	\$	315,039	\$ 84,66	67	\$	77,004

State Aeronautics Fund	St Trunkli Bond F	abined rate ne Fund Proceeds und	Compre Transp Bond P	bined ehensive ortation Proceeds and	То	tals
2000 1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999
\$ 7,732 \$ 8,390 299 253 58,508 51,100 11,159 12,731 647 681	\$ 21,109 128 1,227	\$ 21,161 488 122	730	702	\$ 1,898,995 76,095 793,797 44,102 34,865 2,389	\$ 1,847,841 75,995 614,134 56,602 29,499 2,400
1,121 1,074	18	11_		1,283	32,118	36,205
\$ 79,466 \$ 74,229	\$ 22,482	\$ 21,781	\$ 730	\$ 1,985	\$ 2,882,361	\$ 2,662,676
\$ 6,614 \$ 8,745 83,283 89,990 \$ 89,897 \$ 98,735	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,010	\$ 2,391	\$ 347,351 159,320 1,070,996 83,283 2,367 413 \$ 1,663,730	\$ 335,991 161,628 1,055,114 89,990 2,400 405 \$ 1,645,528
\$ \$ \$ 53 56	\$ 30,235	\$ 57,129	\$	\$	\$ 1,085,383 12,192	\$ 992,991 24,597
\$ 53 \$ 56	\$ 30,235	\$ 57,129	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,097,576	\$ 1,017,588
\$ 89,950 \$ 98,790	\$ 30,235	\$ 57,129	\$ 1,010	\$ 2,391	\$ 2,761,307	\$ 2,663,116
\$ (10,484) \$ (24,562)	\$ (7,753)	\$ (35,347)	\$ (280)	\$ (405)	\$ 121,054	\$ (440)
\$ 14,351 \$ 12,187 \$ 14,351 \$ 12,187	\$ 3,421 \$ 3,421	\$ 6,586 \$ 6,586	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 784,553 258,938 0 \$ 1,043,490	\$ 765,755 153,326 755 \$ 919,836
<u> </u>	5 3,421	<u>0000,0</u>	<u> 5</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>\$ 919,030</u>
\$ 244 267 \$ 244 \$ 267	\$ 1,894 \$ 1,894	\$ 293 \$ 293	\$ 3 <u>\$</u> 3	\$ 4 \$ 4	\$ 784,553 232,627 68,417 \$ 1,085,598	\$ 765,755 216,370 79,682 \$ 1,061,807
	- 11001					
\$ 14,107   \$ 11,920	\$ 1,527	\$ 6,293	\$ (3)	\$ (4)	\$ (42,108)	\$ (141,971)
\$ 3,623 \$ (12,641)	\$ (6,226)	\$ (29,054)	\$ (283)	\$ (409)	\$ 78,947	\$ (142,410)
12,367 25,008	23,739	52,793	11,978	12,387	440,126	582,536
\$ 15,990 \$ 12,367	\$ 17,512	\$ 23,739	\$ 11,694	\$ 11,978	\$ 519,072	\$ 440,126

# MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Special Revenue Funds Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000 (In Thousands)

		Michiga	n Tı	ansportation	on Fu	ınd		Sta	ate Trunkline F	und	
					٧	ariance				٧	ariance
						avorable					avorable
(Statutory/Budgetary Basis) REVENUES	_	Budget	_	Actual	(Un	favorable)	_	Budget	Actual	(Un	favorable)
Taxes	\$	1,821,564	\$	1,821,564	\$	0	\$		\$	\$	
Licenses and permits		55,171		55,171		0		20,357	20,357		0
Federal aid								696,174	696,174		0
Local participation						_		32,409	32,520		111
Interest earnings		13,271		13,271		0		16,986	16,986		0
Nonoperating revenue - bridges Miscellaneous		8		8		0		2,389 28,380	2,389 29.648		0 1.268
Total Revenues	\$	1.890.013	\$	1.890.013	\$	0	\$	796.694	\$ 798.073	\$	1,379
EXPENDITURES AND ENCUMBRANCES				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
Administration and Operations:											
Administration and maintenance	\$		\$	28	\$	(28)	\$	392,945	\$ 353,892	\$	39,053
Bus operating assistance grants						( - /		, , , ,	,,		,
Other grants		902,657		901,685		972		189,168	119,282		69,886
Airport development											
Nonoperating expenditures - bridges								2,389	2,367		22
Total Administration and Operations	\$	902,657	\$	901,713	\$	944	\$	584,502	\$ 475,541	\$	108,961
Capital Outlay:											
Roads and bridges	\$		\$		\$		\$1	,059,042	\$1,055,625	\$	3,417
Other capital outlay	•				•			41,485	12,394	•	29,091
Total Capital Outlay	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$1	,100,527	\$1,068,019	\$	32,508
Total Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$	902,657	\$	901,713	\$	944	\$1	,685,029	\$1,543,560	\$	141,469
Excess of Revenues Over (Under)											
Expenditures and Encumbrances	Φ	987.357	\$	988.301	\$	944	Φ	(888,334)	\$ (745,486)	\$	142,848
Experiolities and Encumbrances	Ψ	301,331	Ψ	300,301	Ψ	344	Ψ	(400,000)	\$ (745,400)	Ψ	142,040
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES											
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$		\$		\$		\$	627,699	\$ 627,699	\$	0
Grants and transfers from other funds and component units		2,557		2,557		0		174,511	237,411		62,900
Total Other Financing Sources	\$	2,557	\$	2,557	\$	0	\$	802,210	\$ 865,110	\$	62,900
OTHER FINANCING USES											
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$	785,352	\$	784,554	\$	798	\$		\$	\$	
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units	•	218,907	_	206,304	•	12,603	_	10,163	21,562	•	(11,399)
Debt service								47,209	47,209		<u> </u>
Total Other Financing Uses	\$	1,004,259	\$	990,858	\$	13,401	\$	57,372	\$ 68,771	\$	(11,399)
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under)											
Other Financing Uses	\$ (	1,001,702)	\$	(988,301)	\$	13,401	\$	744,838	\$ 796,339	\$	51,501
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources											
Over (Under) Expenditures, Encumbrances, and Other Financing Uses	\$	(14,346)	\$	0	\$	14,346	2	(143,497)	\$ 50,853	\$	194,350
and Other I mariting 0363	Ψ	(14,540)	Ψ		Ψ	14,540	Ψ	(145,457)	Ψ 30,033	Ψ	134,550
RECONCILING ITEMS											
Encumbrances at September 30			\$						\$ 23,317		
Funds not annually budgeted											
Net Reconciling Items			\$	0					\$ 23,317		
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under)											
Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (GAAP Basis)			\$	0					\$ 74,170		
FUND DAY AND FO (CAAD DAGIO)											
FUND BALANCES (GAAP BASIS)				_					245 000		
Beginning balances			_	0					315,039		
Ending balances			\$	0					\$ 389,209		
<del>-</del>			_								

State Comprehensive
Trunkline Transportation
Fund Bond Bond
Proceeds Proceeds

Comprehe	noivo Tronono	rtotion	Fund		Cto	٠. ٨.	oronoutio	o Euro	d		roceeds Fund	Р	roceeds Fund		Totals		
Comprehe	nsive Transpo		riance		Sia	le A	eronautic		ariance		runa		runa		Totals	١	/ariance
			orable						vorable								avorable
Budget	Actual	(Unfa	vorable)	Вι	udget		Actual	(Unf	avorable)		Actual		Actual	Budget	Actual	(Ur	nfavorable)
\$ 69,699 268	\$ 69,699 268	\$	0	\$	7,732 299	\$	7,732 299	\$	0	\$		\$		\$1,898,995 76,095	\$1,898,995 76,095	\$	0 0
18,006	18,006		0		8,508		58,508		0					772,688	772,688		0
295	295		0	1	1,159		11,159		0					43,863	43,974		111
2,005	2,005		0		647		647		0					32,909	32,909		0
4 000	4 222		84		1.121		1.121		0					2,389 30.747	2,389		0
1,238	1,322	Ф.	84			\$		\$	0	\$		\$	0		32,099	\$	1,352
\$ 91,511	\$ 91,595	\$	84	\$ /	9,467	Ъ	79,467	\$		<u>\$</u>	0	\$	0	\$2,857,685	\$2,859,148	\$	1,463
Ф 44 442	¢ 40.205	r.	4.050	œ.	7 000	¢	6.744	æ	1.000	¢.		æ		f 440 404	¢ 274.040	•	44 445
\$ 11,443	\$ 10,385	\$	1,058 2,164	\$	7,803	\$	6,741	\$	1,062	\$		\$		\$ 412,191 161,526	\$ 371,046 159,362	\$	41,145 2,164
161,526 98,178	159,362 91,542		6,636											1,190,003	1,112,509		77,494
30,170	31,342		0,000	9	5,982		84,569		1,413					85,982	84,569		1,413
					5,502		04,505		1,415					2,389	2,367		22
\$ 271,147	\$ 261,289	\$	9,858	\$ 9	3,785	\$	91,310	\$	2,475	\$	0	\$	0	\$1,852,091	\$1,729,853	\$	122,238
		_		•						_		•		<b>*</b> 4 050 040	<b>A</b> 4 055 005		0.447
\$	\$	\$		\$	50	\$	50	\$		\$		\$		\$1,059,042	\$1,055,625 12,446	\$	3,417 29.092
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	<u>53</u> 53	\$	52 52	\$	<u>1</u>	\$	0	\$	0	\$1,100,580	\$1,068,071	\$	32,509
<del>-</del> <del>0</del>	<del>y</del> 0	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	32	Ψ	<u>_</u>	Ψ		Ψ		\$1,100,300	\$1,000,071	Ψ	32,309
\$ 271,147	\$ 261,289	\$	9.858	\$ 9	3 838	\$	91,362	\$	2.476	\$	0	\$	0	\$2,952,671	\$2,797,924	\$	154,747
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ψ	0,000	Ψ υ	0,000	Ψ	01,002		2,470	Ψ				Ψ2,002,011	ΨΣ,707,024	Ψ	10-1,7-17
\$ (179,636)	\$ (169,694)	\$	9,942	\$(1	4,372)	\$ (	(11,896)	\$	2,476	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (94,985)	\$ 61,225	\$	156,210
\$ 156,854 	\$ 156,854 	\$	0	\$ 1	4,351_	\$	14,351	\$	0_	\$		\$		\$ 784,553 192,617	\$ 784,553 255,517	\$	0 62,900
\$ 158,052	\$ 158,052	\$	0	\$ 1	4,351	\$	14,351	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 977,170	\$1,040,070	\$	62,900
\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$ 785,352	\$ 784,554	\$	798
248	2,620		(2,372)		292		244		48					229,610	230,730		(1,120)
21,209	21,207		2	_		_		_		_				68,418	68,416	_	2
\$ 21,457	\$ 23,827	\$	(2,370)	\$	292	\$	244	\$	48	\$	0	\$	0	\$1,083,380	\$1,083,700	\$	(320)
A 100 505	<b>A</b> 404 005	•	(0.070)	•	4.050	•	4440=	•	40	•		•		<b>A</b> (100.010)	<b>4.</b> (40.000)	•	00.500
\$ 136,595	\$ 134,225	<u> </u>	(2,370)	<u> </u>	4,059	Ð	14,107	<u> </u>	48	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (106,210)	\$ (43,030)	\$	62,580
(43,042)	\$ (35,469)	\$	7,572	\$	(313)	\$	2,211	\$	2,523	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (201,197)	\$ 17,594	\$	218,791
	\$ 43,132					\$	1,412			\$	(6,226)	\$	(283)		\$ 67,862 (6,510)		
	\$ 43,132					\$	1,412			\$	(6,226)	\$	(283)		\$ 61,352		
	\$ 7,663					\$	3,623			\$	(6,226)	\$	(283)		\$ 78,947		
	77,004					_	12,367				23,739		11,978		440,126		
	\$ 84,667					\$	15,990			\$	17,512	\$	11,694		\$ 519,071		

# MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Special Revenue Funds Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 1999 (In Thousands)

		Michio	an T	ransportati		und /ariance		Sta	ate '	Trunkline F		ariance
						avorable						avorable
(Statutory/Budgetary Basis) REVENUES	_	Budget		Actual	(Un	favorable)		Budget	_	Actual	(Un	favorable)
Taxes	\$1	,776,210	\$	1,776,210	\$	0	\$		\$		\$	
Licenses and permits		56,971		56,971		0		18,553		18,553		0
Federal aid Local participation								523,295 43,302		523,295 43,302		0 0
Interest earnings		11,024		11,024		0		15,605		15,605		0
Nonoperating revenue - bridges		,		,				2,400		2,400		0
Miscellaneous	_	51		51		0		27,559	_	27,559		0
Total Revenues	\$1	,844,257	\$	1,844,257	\$	0	\$	630,715	\$	630,715	\$	0
EXPENDITURES AND ENCUMBRANCES												
Administration and Operations:	•		•		•		Φ.	004.500	Φ.	004.000	•	00.040
Administration and maintenance Bus operating assistance grants	\$	55	\$	55	\$	0	\$	364,522	\$	334,903	\$	29,619
Other grants		879,608		879,607		1		187,064		130,790		56,274
Airport development								,		,		·
Nonoperating expenditures - bridges	_							2,400	_	2,400		0
Total Administration and Operations	\$	879,663	\$	879,662	\$	1_	\$	553,986	\$	468,093	\$	85,893
Capital Outlay:												
Roads and bridges	\$		\$		\$		\$	936,702	\$	936,149	\$	553
Other capital outlay	•		\$		_		Φ.	41,001	\$	24,875	\$	16,126
Total Capital Outlay	\$	0	_ \$_	0	\$	0	\$	977,703	_ \$	961,024	_\$_	16,679
Total Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$	879,663	\$	879,662	\$	1_	\$1	,531,689	\$	1,429,117	\$	102,572
Excess of Revenues Over (Under)												
Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$	964,594	\$	964,595	\$	1_	\$	(900,975)	\$	(798,403)	\$	102,572
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES												
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$		\$		\$		\$	612,708	\$		\$	0
Grants and transfers from other funds and component units	_	572	_	572 572	\$	0	_	135,624 748 333	\$	132,747	_	(2,877)
Total Other Financing Sources	\$	572	_ \$	5/2	- \$		\$	748,333	_ \$	745,455	_ \$	(2,878)
OTHER FINANCING USES												
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution	\$	765,756	\$	765,755	\$	1	\$		\$		\$	
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units  Debt service		212,028		199,412		12,616		11,372 61,143		16,145 57,751		(4,773) 3,392
Total Other Financing Uses	\$	977,784	\$	965,167	\$	12,617	\$	72,515	\$	73,896	\$	(1,381)
•		•										
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Other Financing Uses	¢	(977,212)	¢	(964,595)	\$	10 617	¢	67E 017	Ф	671,559	\$	(4.258)
Other I manding Oses	Ψ	(311,212)	Ψ.	(304,333)	<u> </u>	12,017	Ψ	075,017	Ψ	071,555	Ψ	(4,230)
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources												
Over (Under) Expenditures, Encumbrances, and Other Financing Uses	\$	(12,618)	\$	0	\$	12,618	\$	(225,159)	2	(126,844)	\$	98.315
and other rindromy occo	Ψ	(12,010)			Ψ	12,010	Ψ	(220,100)	<u> </u>	(120,044)	<u></u>	50,010
RECONCILING ITEMS												
Encumbrances at September 30			\$						\$	19,570		
Funds not annually budgeted			_						_			
Net Reconciling Items			\$	0					\$	19,570		
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (GAAP Basis)			\$	0					\$	(107,274)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										,		
FUND BALANCES (GAAP BASIS) Beginning balances				0						422,313		
Degitting Daldtices			_						_	422,313		
Ending balances			\$	0					\$	315,039		

Combined State Trunkline Fund Bond Proceeds Combined Comprehensive Transportation Bond Proceeds

			0.		_		F	Proceeds	F	Proceeds		<b>-</b>		
Comprehe	ensive Transpo	Variance	Star	te Aeronautic		ariance		Fund		Fund		Totals	\	ariance
		Favorable				vorable								avorable
Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	(Unf	avorable)		Actual		Actual	Budget	Actual	(Un	favorable)
\$ 63,241	\$ 63,241	\$ 0	\$ 8,390	\$ 8,390	\$	0	\$		\$		\$1,847,841	\$1,847,841	\$	0
218	218	0		253	Ψ	0	Ψ		Ψ		75,995	75,995	Ψ	0
18,578	18,578	0	51,100	51,100		0					592,973	592,973		0
81	81	0	12,731	12,731		0					56,113	56,113		0
1,365	1,365	0	681	681		0					28,676	28,676		0
											2,400	2,400		0
6,226	6,226	0	,	1,074		0					34,911	34,911		0
\$ 89,709	\$ 89,709	\$ 0	\$ 74,229	\$ 74,229	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$2,638,909	\$2,638,909	\$	0
\$ 11,587	\$ 11,331	\$ 256	\$ 10,188	\$ 8,917	\$	1,271	\$		\$		\$ 386,353	\$ 355,206	\$	31,147
163,362	163,285	77	Ψ .0,.00	Ψ 0,0	Ψ.	.,	Ψ		Ψ		163,362	163,285	Ψ	77
89,570	81,361	8,209									1,156,242	1,091,760		64,482
•	•	,	93,317	91,370		1,947					93,317	91,370		1,947
											2,400	2,400		0
\$ 264,519	\$ 255,977	\$ 8,542	\$ 103,505	\$100,287	\$	3,218	\$	0	\$	0	\$1,801,674	\$1,704,021	\$	97,653
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	0	\$		\$		\$ 936,702	\$ 936,149	\$	553
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 108 \$ 108	\$ 108 \$ 108	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 977,811	\$ 961,133	\$	16,126 16,678
				^										
\$ 264,519	\$ 255,977	\$ 8,542	\$ 103,613	\$100,395	\$	3,218	\$	0	\$	0	\$2,779,485	\$2,665,154	\$	114,331
¢ (474.040)	¢ (400 000)	\$ 8,542	¢ (20.204)	f (00 400)	•	2.240	æ	0	\$	0	¢ (140 F70)	Ф (OC O4E)	¢.	111 221
\$ (174,010)	\$ (166,268)	\$ 8,542	\$ (29,304)	\$ (26,166)	Φ	3,218	Φ_		Φ	0	\$ (140,576)	\$ (26,245)	Φ_	114,331
\$ 153,047	\$ 153,047	\$ 0	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		Ф <b>7</b> 05 <b>7</b> 55	\$ 765,755	\$	0
1.510	1.234	э (276		ە 12.187	Ф	0	Φ		Ф		\$ 765,755 149,893	\$ 765,755 146,740	Φ	(3,153)
\$ 154,557	\$ 154,281	\$ (276		\$ 12,187	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 915,649	\$ 912,495	\$	(3,153)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	7 - 12(10)	<u> </u>							<u> </u>	<u> </u>		(0(100)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$ 765,756	\$ 765,755	\$	1
300	249	Ψ 51		267	Ψ	64	Ψ		Ψ		224,032	216,073	Ψ	7,959
21,935	21,931	4	-			-					83,079	79,682		3,397
\$ 22,235	\$ 22,180	\$ 55	\$ 331	\$ 267	\$	64	\$	0	\$	0	\$1,072,867	\$1,061,510	\$	11,357
\$ 132,322	\$ 132,101	\$ (221	\$ 11,856	\$ 11,920	\$	64	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (157,219)	\$ (149,015)	\$	8,204
\$ (42,489)	\$ (34,167)	\$ 8.322	\$ (17,528)	\$ (14.246)	\$	3,282	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (297,794)	\$ (175.257)	\$	122,537
														***************************************
	\$ 41,136			\$ 1,605			\$		\$			\$ 62,311		
	φ 41,130			φ 1,005			Ψ	(29,054)	Ψ	(409)		(29,463)		
					•									
	\$ 41,136			\$ 1,605	-		\$	(29,054)	\$	(409)		\$ 32,848		
	\$ 6,969			\$ (12,641)			\$	(29,054)	\$	(409)		(142,410)		
	Ψ 0,303			Ψ (12,041)			Ψ	(20,004)	Ψ	(+03)		(1→2,→10)		
	70,035			25,008				52,793		12,387		582,536		
							¢		\$	11,978				
	\$ 77,004			\$ 12,367	:		\$	23,739	Φ	11,978		\$ 440,126		

#### COMBINED STATE TRUNKLINE BOND AND INTEREST REDEMPTION FUND

This Fund was established pursuant to Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, to account for debt service on all State Trunkline Fund related bond issues. As of October 1, 1986, the debt service funds for the outstanding bond issues were merged by equity transfer into this debt service fund. The merged funds include the 1983 State Trunkline Fund Bond and Interest Redemption Fund, the 1984 State Trunkline Fund Bond and Interest Redemption Fund, the 1986 State Trunkline Refunding Bond and Interest Redemption Fund, and the Michigan Trunkline Bond and Interest Redemption Fund, Series II through IX. All subsequent State Trunkline Fund related bond issues are accounted for in this Fund.

The bonds are not general obligations of the State of Michigan but are payable solely out of funds restricted as to use for transportation purposes by Article IX, Section 9 of the Michigan Constitution and irrevocably pledged by law for deposit in the State Trunkline Fund. Debt service requirements are funded by annual appropriations in the State Trunkline Fund.

## COMBINED COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION BOND AND INTEREST REDEMPTION FUND

This Fund was established pursuant to Act 51, P.A. 1951, as amended, to account for debt service on all Comprehensive Transportation Fund related bond issues. As of October 1, 1986, the debt service funds for outstanding bond issues were merged by equity transfer into this debt service fund. The merged funds include the Comprehensive Transportation Bond and Interest Redemption Fund and the 1986 Comprehensive Transportation Refunding Bond and Interest Redemption Fund. All subsequent Comprehensive Transportation Fund related bond issues are accounted for in this Fund.

The bonds are not general obligations of the State of Michigan but are payable solely out of funds restricted as to use for comprehensive transportation purposes by Article IX, Section 9 of the Michigan Constitution and irrevocably pledged by law for deposit in the Comprehensive Transportation Fund. Debt service requirements are funded by annual appropriations in the Comprehensive Transportation Fund.

#### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Combining Balance Sheet
Debt Service Funds
As of September 30
(In Thousands)

		Bond Inte Reder	ate kline d and rest	n	Co Tr	Combounts Compresented Comprese	hens ortati I and rest nptio	ive on		To	tals	
	20	00	19	99	20	00	19	99	20	00	19	99
ASSETS Current Assets: Equity in Common Cash Amounts due from other funds Miscellaneous Total Assets	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES Current Liabilities: Warrants outstanding Accounts payable Amounts due to other funds Total Liabilities	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Fund Balances		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Debt Service Funds
Fiscal Years Ended September 30
(In Thousands)

	Tr Bo II Red	ombined State runklined ond and nterest demption	) 		Compre Transp Bond Inte Reder	ortation and and erest mption and	n			tals	999
REVENUES	•										
Interest earnings	\$	\$_		\$	1_	\$	1_	\$	1_	\$	11
Total Revenues	\$ (	0 \$	0	\$	1_	\$	11	\$	1	\$	1_
EXPENDITURES											
Bond principal retirement	\$ 17,745	5 \$	30,110	\$ \$	3,295	\$	8,040	\$ 26	5,040	\$ 39	3,150
Bond interest and fiscal charges	29,464		27,641		2,913		3,891		2,377		1,532
Total Expenditures	\$ 47,209		57,751		1,208		1,931	\$ 68			9,682
rotal Exponditures	Ψ 17,200	<u> </u>	01,101	<u> </u>	,200	Ψ -	1,001	Ψοσ	,, <u> </u>	Ψ / (	,,002
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ (47,209	9) \$ (	57,751)	\$ (2	,207)	\$ (2	1,930)	\$ (68	3,416)	\$ (79	9,681)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES											
Transfer from State Trunkline Fund	\$ 47,209	9 \$	57,751	\$		\$		\$ 47	,209	\$ 57	7,751
Transfer from Comprehensive Transportation Fund				2	,208	2	1,931	21	,208	2	1,931
Proceeds from refunding bond issues											
Total Other Financing Sources	\$ 47,209	9 \$	57,751	\$ 2	,208	\$ 2	1,931	\$ 68	3,417	\$ 79	9,682
OTHER FINANCING USES											
Grants and transfers to other funds and component units	\$	\$		\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent	Ψ	•		•	•	Ψ	•	•	•	•	•
Total Other Financing Uses	\$ (	0 \$	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
•								,		,	
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over											
(Under) Other Financing Uses	\$ 47,209	9 \$	57,751	\$ 2	,207	\$ 2	1,930	\$ 68	3,416	\$ 79	9,681
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over	_			_		_		_		_	
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Fund balances - Beginning of fiscal year											
Fund balances - End of fiscal year	e /	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Fullu Dalalices - Eliu Ol liscal yedi	φ	0 \$		φ		φ		φ	- 0	φ	0

#### TRANSPORTATION RELATED TRUST FUND

To achieve administrative efficiencies, effective October 1, 1990, the Special Federal Bridge Replacement Trust Fund, the Federal County Road Trust Fund, the Federal Urban Transportation System Trust Fund, and the Highway Topics and Safety Program Trust Fund were combined in a single trust fund. The functions and purposes of these funds, although combined in a single fund, remain unchanged. At the end of fiscal year 1999-2000, the Metropolitan Planning Fund was closed to this trust fund. Changes in the financial activities of the Metropolitan Planning Fund changed the Michigan Department of Transportation's role from a custodial agent to a trustee. The following subfunds are included in the trust fund:

#### SPECIAL FEDERAL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT TRUST FUND

This Fund was authorized by enabling legislation associated with Section 144 of the Federal Highway Act of 1970. The Fund accounts for the federal, State, and local money used to upgrade State-owned and locally owned bridges.

#### FEDERAL COUNTY ROAD TRUST FUND

This Fund was authorized by enabling legislation associated with the Federal Highway Act of 1944, as amended. The Fund accounts for the federal, State, and local money expended to meet the road construction needs of rural areas and communities with populations less than 5,000.

#### FEDERAL URBAN TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM TRUST FUND

This Fund was authorized by enabling legislation associated with the Federal Highway Act of 1970, as amended. The Fund accounts for the federal, State, and local money expended to meet the road construction needs of communities with populations of 5,000 or more.

#### HIGHWAY TOPICS AND SAFETY PROGRAM TRUST FUND

This Fund was authorized by enabling legislation associated with the Federal Highway Acts of 1968 and 1973. The Fund accounts for federal, State, and local money used to fund urban and road safety projects.

# **METROPOLITAN PLANNING FUND**

This Fund was established by Sections 112 and 134 of the Federal Highway Act of 1973 to account for federal funds that reimburse local regional planning agencies for operating expenses.

# Combining Balance Sheet - Expendable Trust Fund Transportation Related Trust Fund As of September 30 (In Thousands)

	2000	1999
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 4,410
Receivables:		
Federal aid	23,771	16,760
Local units	14,798	10,180
Miscellaneous	211	67
Total Assets	\$ 38,780	\$ 31,417
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES		
Current Liabilities:		
Warrants outstanding	\$ 3,413	\$ 4,013
Accounts payable	25,618	23,292
Contract reserve payable	3,885	3,643
Due to other funds	3,345	0
Deferred revenue	2,519	469

38,780

\$ 38,780

0

\$ 31,417

\$ 31,417

0

**Total Liabilities** 

Total Liabilities and Fund Balances

Fund Balances

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Expendable Trust Fund
Transportation Related Trust Fund
Fiscal Years Ended September 30
(In Thousands)

	2000	1999
REVENUES Federal aid	\$ 166,468	\$ 115,884
Local participation Miscellaneous	46,504 492	43,096 48
Total Revenues	\$ 213,464	\$ 159,028
EXPENDITURES		
Payments to contractors and miscellaneous project costs	\$ 192,527	\$ 142,321
Federal pass-through funds to locals	29,338	16,280
State participation costs incurred by locals	247	3
Local participation costs to third party	10	
Comprehensive Transportation Fund participation costs incurred by locals	2,371	1
Total Expenditures	\$ 224,493	\$ 158,605
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ (11,029)	\$ 423
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		
Transfer from State Trunkline Fund	\$ 8,236	\$ 96
Transfer from Comprehensive Transportation Fund	2,370	18
Transfer from other funds	610	
Total Other Financing Sources	<u>\$ 11,216</u>	\$ 114
OTHER FINANCING USES		
Transfer to Department of Treasury for operations	\$ 1	1
Transfer to Comprehensive Transportation Fund	400	15
Transfer to State Trunkline Fund	186	<u>522</u>
Total Other Financing Uses	\$ 187	\$ 537
Excess of Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Other Financing Uses	\$ 11,029	\$ (423)
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under)		
Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	\$ 0	\$ 0
Fund balances - Beginning of fiscal year		
Fund balances - End of fiscal year	\$ 0	\$ 0

# **Description of Agency Fund**

## **METROPOLITAN PLANNING FUND**

This Fund was established by Sections 112 and 134 of the Federal Highway Act of 1973 to account for federal "pass through" funds that reimburse local regional planning agencies for operating expenses. Local money is advanced to the Fund, approximately 80% of which is reimbursed to the local unit by the federal government. The financing accounted for in this Fund consists of federal and local money. No State funds are involved.

The Fund was closed to the Transportation Related Trust Fund at the end of fiscal year 1999-2000. Changes in the financial activities of this Fund subsequently changed the Michigan Department of Transportation's role from a custodial agent to a trustee.

# MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities - Agency Fund

# Metropolitan Planning Fund Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 1999 and 2000

(In Thousands)

	Oc	alance tober 1, 1998	Ac	dditions	Dec	ductions	Balance otember 30, 1999	Ad	dditions	Dec	ductions	Septe	alance ember 30, 2000
ASSETS													
Current Assets:													
Equity in Common Cash	\$	1,388	\$	5,793	\$	7,062	\$ 119	\$	1,236	\$	1,355	\$	0
Amounts due from federal agencies		77		126		77	126				126		0
Total Assets	\$	1,465	\$	5,920	\$	7,139	\$ 246	\$	1,236	\$	1,481	\$	0
LIABILITIES													
Current Liabilities:													
Warrants outstanding	\$	28	\$	29	\$		\$ 57	\$		\$	57	\$	0
Accounts payable and other liabilities		1,437		5,952		7,200	189		1,266		1,455		0_
Total Liabilities	\$	1,465	\$	5,981	\$	7,200	\$ 246	\$	1,266	\$	1,512	\$	0

# Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Economic Development Fund Fiscal Years Ended September 30 (In Thousands)

	2000			1999		
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES	<u> </u>	_	•			
Licenses and permits	\$	14,024	\$	12,621		
Federal aid		31,943		27,615		
Local participation		16,087		26,511		
Interest earnings		6,197		4,677		
Michigan Transportation Fund distribution		40,275		40,275		
Miscellaneous		733		2,329		
Total Revenues and Other Sources	\$	109,259	\$	114,028		
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES			_			
Administration	\$	305	\$	300		
Forest roads		5,017		5,040		
Target industries - State takeovers		18,169		41,330		
Rural county urban system		4,115		5,745		
Urban county congestion		11,411		22,772		
Rural county primary		42,301		29,315		
Debt service		6,608		10,834		
Total Expenditures and Other Uses	_\$_	87,926	_\$_	115,336		
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over						
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$	21,333	\$	(1,308)		
(Officer) Experiorates and Other Oses	Ψ	21,555	Ψ	(1,300)		
Fund Balances - October 1		62,351		63,659		
Fund Balances - September 30	\$	83,684	\$	62,351		
i una palances - September 30	Ψ	03,004	Ψ	02,331		

The Economic Development Fund (EDF) was created as a subfund of the State Trunkline Fund during fiscal year 1987-88. The amounts shown above have been reported as part of the State Trunkline Fund in previous statements of this report. This supplemental schedule was prepared to provide additional information pertaining specifically to EDF.

Revenues are derived from the Michigan Transportation Fund, increased motor vehicle fee income, federal funds for expenditures eligible for matching, local matching funds which are not less than 25% for each project, and interest earnings. EDF money is expended for the purpose of enhancing the State's ability to compete in an international economy, serving as a catalyst for the economic growth of the State, and improving the quality of life in the rural and urban areas of the State.

# Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Blue Water Bridge Fund Fiscal Years Ended September 30

(In Thousands)

	 2000		1999
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES Interest earnings Miscellaneous	\$ 986 15,548	\$	650 10,804
Total Revenues and Other Sources	\$ 16,534	\$	11,454
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES			
Administration and maintenance	\$ 3,130	\$	2,729
Roads and bridges	2,276		405
Grants and transfers to other funds	9		7
Debt service	 2,312	1	2079
Total Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ 7,727	\$	5,220
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over			
(Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ 8,807	\$	6,234
Fund Balances - October 1	 (24,004)		(30,239)
Fund Balances - September 30	\$ (15,197)	\$	(24,004)

The Blue Water Bridge Fund (BWBF) was created as a subfund of the State Trunkline Fund during fiscal year 1993-94. The amounts shown above have been reported as part of the State Trunkline Fund in previous statements of this report. This supplemental schedule was prepared to provide additional information pertaining specifically to BWBF.

Through provisions of Section 1012 of the federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, the State Trunkline Fund received federal funds to be loaned to BWBF for construction of the Blue Water Bridge project. Repayment of the \$45 million loan will begin no later than five years after the facility is opened to traffic. The term of the loan will not exceed 30 years from the time the loan was obligated.

Schedule of Debt Service Requirements on Outstanding Bonds State Trunkline Fund and Comprehensive Transportation Fund
September 30, 2000
(In Thousands)

Fiscal Years	Comprehensive									
Ending	Sta	te Trunkline	Fund		Combined To	otal				
September 30	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	_
	•									
2001	\$ 18,670	\$ 28,530	\$ 47,200	\$ 10,465	\$11,232	\$ 21,697	\$ 29,135	\$ 39,763	\$ 68,89	98
2002	19,690	27,512	47,202	11,130	10,668	21,798	30,820	38,180	69,00	00
2003	20,780	26,422	47,202	11,545	10,057	21,602	32,325	36,479	68,80	)4
2004	21,785	25,423	47,208	12,330	9,404	21,734	34,115	34,826	68,94	11
2005	22,700	24,504	47,204	13,945	8,671	22,616	36,645	33,175	69,82	20
2006	22,755	23,866	46,621	15,265	7,801	23,066	38,020	31,668	69,68	38
2007	24,670	23,495	48,165	16,135	6,935	23,070	40,805	30,430	71,23	35
2008	25,095	23,071	48,166	17,055	6,012	23,067	42,150	29,083	71,23	33
2009	25,525	22,638	48,163	18,010	5,060	23,070	43,535	27,697	71,23	32
2010	26,070	22,091	48,161	19,140	3,924	23,064	45,210	26,015	71,22	25
2011	26,750	21,415	48,165	20,190	2,876	23,066	46,940	24,291	71,23	31
2012	27,470	20,703	48,173	6,660	2,068	8,728	34,130	22,771	56,90	)1
2013	28,210	19,952	48,162	6,985	1,711	8,696	35,195	21,663	56,85	58
2014	29,375	18,788	48,163	7,345	1,341	8,686	36,720	20,129	56,84	19
2015	30,975	17,190	48,165	1,780	951	2,731	32,755	18,141	50,89	96
2016	32,660	15,505	48,165	1,875	853	2,728	34,535	16,357	50,89	<del>)</del> 2
2017	34,470	13,692	48,162	1,980	750	2,730	36,450	14,441	50,89	<del>)</del> 1
2018	36,420	11,742	48,162	2,090	641	2,731	38,510	12,383	50,89	93
2019	38,480	9,683	48,163	2,200	526	2,726	40,680	10,208	50,88	38
2020	40,530	7,633	48,163	2,325	405	2,730	42,855	8,038	50,89	93
2021	42,570	5,600	48,170	2,450	277	2,727	45,020	5,877	50,89	97
2022	44,745	3,419	48,164	2,585	142	2,727	47,330	3,561	50,89	<del>)</del> 1
2023	12,170	1,975	14,145			0	12,170	1,975	14,14	<del>1</del> 5
2024	12,800	1,350	14,150			0	12,800	1,350	14,15	50
2025	13,455	694	14,149			0	13,455	694	14,14	19
2026	3,485	270	3,755			0	3,485	270	3,75	55
2027	3,665	92	3,757			0	3,665	92	3,75	57
Total	\$685,970	\$417,254	\$1,103,224	\$203,485	\$92,303	\$295,788	\$889,455	\$509,557	\$1,399,01	12

### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (1) For the Period October 1, 1998 through September 30, 2000 (In Thousands)

			For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 1999					30, 1999
Federal Agency/Program	CFDA (2) Program Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended		Distributed to Subrecipients		Total Expended and Distributed	
U.S. Department of Transportation								
Direct Programs:								
Airport Improvement Program	20.106		\$	49,703	\$	1,324	\$	51,027
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205			622,254		47,922		670,176
Local Rail Freight Assistance	20.308			258				258
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500			1,902		10,141		12,043
Federal Transit Metropolitan Planning Grants	20.505			54				54
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	20.509			860		5,281		6,141
Total Direct Programs			\$	675,031	\$	64,668	\$	739,699
Pass-Through Program:								
Michigan Department of State Police								
National Motor Carrier Safety	20.218	97-0066		83		0		83
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$	675,114	\$	64,668	\$	739,782
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$	675,114	\$	64,668	\$	739,782

<sup>(1)</sup> Basis of Presentation: This schedule includes the federal grant activity of the Michigan Department of Transportation and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

<sup>(2)</sup> CFDA is defined as Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000							Total Expended			
	Directly expended	_	Distributed to Subrecipients Total Expended and Distributed			d Distributed for the o-Year Period				
\$	56,298 827,312 283 830 1,250 1,982	\$	383 54,308 8,567 223 6,037	\$	56,681 881,620 283 9,397 1,473 8,019	\$	107,708 1,551,796 541 21,440 1,527 14,160			
\$	887,955	\$	69,518	\$	957,473	\$	1,697,172			
	84		0		84_		167_			
\$	888,039	\$	69,518	\$	957,557	\$	1,697,339			
\$	888,039	\$	69,518	\$	957,557	\$	1,697,339			

# OTHER SCHEDULES

# Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings As of September 30, 2000

# PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# Audit Findings That Have Been Fully Corrected:

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1998

Finding Number: 599902

**Finding Title:** Requests for Additional Spending Authority

**Finding:** The Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT's) internal

control was not effective in ensuring that support for Act 51, P.A. 1951 requests for additional spending authority was based on

accurate accounting information.

**Comments:** In fiscal year 1998-99, the Financial Operations Division

strengthened its internal control and all requests for Michigan Transportation Fund revenue distribution spending authority are

now based on actual revenue.

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1998

Finding Number: 599903

**Finding Title:** Operating Transfers

**Finding:** MDOT did not record a nonrecurring operating transfer in

accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Comments: MDOT has implemented additional review steps for nonrecurring

transactions, which include consulting with the Office of Financial Management, Department of Management and Budget, as appropriate, to ensure compliance with Governmental Accounting

Standards Board standards.

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1998

Finding Number: 599904

Finding Title: Annual Physical Inventories

Finding: MDOT's internal control did not ensure that MDOT conducted

required annual physical inventories of its microcomputers.

Comments: MDOT completed a physical inventory of microcomputers during

July 2000 and had implemented controls to ensure that physical

inventories are annually conducted.

# <u>Audit Findings Not Corrected or Partially Corrected:</u>

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1998

Finding Number: 599901

Finding Title: Accounting Practices

Finding: MDOT needs to strengthen its internal control to ensure

appropriate, complete, and accurate recording and reporting of

financial transactions.

**Comments:** The Financial Operations Division is in the process of updating and

documenting policies and procedures to reflect current procedures and the changes required with the implementation of the Statewide accounting system, the Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN), in addition to MDOT's organizational changes. These policies and procedures should strengthen MDOT's internal

control. The process should be completed by July 31, 2001.

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30,1998

Finding Number: 599905

Finding Title: Payroll Controls

**Finding:** MDOT did not implement proper control activities\* for certain

Personnel-Payroll Information System for Michigan\* (PPRISM)

functions.

**Comments:** The Office of Human Resources implemented procedures that

disallow employees from changing their own personnel records.

MDOT will implement a process for fiscal year 2000-01 where an annual certification will be signed by a division administrator, office head, or a region engineer. Included in the certification is a statement that says that all time reports are supported with either the employee-submitted time report or a timekeeper-generated time

report with a written signature by the employee.

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30,1998

Finding Number: 599906

Finding Title: Procurement Cards

Finding: MDOT's internal control did not ensure the proper use of

procurement cards\*.

Comments: MDOT will address the finding in the next update of the

procurement card manual. The next update will be complete by

April 30, 2001.

# PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

# <u>Audit Findings Not Corrected or Partially Corrected:</u>

Audit Period: October 1, 1996 through September 30,1998

Finding Number: 599907

Finding Title: Payroll Controls

**Finding:** See Finding 599905 with the findings related to the financial

statements.

<sup>\*</sup> See glossary at end of report for definition.

Corrective Action Plan
As of May 31, 2001

### FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Finding Number: 590101
Finding Title: Equipment
Management Views: We concur.

Corrective Action: The Financial Operations Division, Bureau of Finance

and Administration, is reviewing the procedures for the Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT's) automotive fleet, tagged equipment, and inventory. The procedures will be updated as necessary to improve controls over accounting for equipment. These procedures will also include bus inventory and aeronautic equipment. The procedures will include a requirement that annual physical verification occur.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2001

**Responsible Individual:** Edward A. Timpf, Administrator, Financial Operations

Division

Finding Number: 590102

Finding Title: Controls Over MAIN User Access

Management Views: We concur.

**Corrective Action:** The Financial Operations Division, Bureau of Finance

and Administration, documented procedures in October 2000 related to incompatible user class combinations. The procedures include running Management Information Database (MIDB) scripts to identify incompatible user class combinations. For those incompatible combinations that are needed, a justification is sent to the Office of Financial Management, Department of Management and

Budget, with a copy on file in the agency security

administrator's office. Compensating controls are in place for all incompatible user class combinations.

Procedures were also put in place to run an MIDB query twice a month which captures all MDOT departures, including transfers, promotions, medical leave, etc. Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN) access is deleted for all departures listed on the report. For transfers and promotions, MAIN access is verified. For medical leave, the user level status is changed to inquiry only.

**Anticipated Completion Date:** 

Completed October 2000

Responsible Individual:

Edward A. Timpf, Administrator, Financial Operations

Division

## FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

There were no findings related to federal awards for fiscal years 1998-99 and 1999-2000.

# Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

BWBF Blue Water Bridge Fund.

CFDA Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

control activities A component of internal control that includes the policies and

procedures that help ensure that management directives are

carried out.

DMB Department of Management and Budget.

EDF Economic Development Fund.

FACS Financial Administration and Control System.

FHWA Federal Highway Administration.

financial audit An audit that is designed to provide reasonable assurance

about whether the financial schedules and/or financial statements of an audited entity are fairly presented in

conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting.

GAAP accounting principles generally accepted in the United States

of America.

IBA International Bridge Administration.

internal control A process, effected by an entity's management and other

personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories: (1) reliability of financial reporting, (2) effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and

(3) compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

JIBA Joint International Bridge Authority.

low-risk auditee

As provided for in OMB Circular A-133, an auditee that may qualify for reduced federal audit coverage if it receives an annual Single Audit and it meets other criteria related to prior audit results. In accordance with State statute, this Single Audit was conducted on a biennial basis; consequently, this auditee is not considered a low-risk auditee.

material misstatement

A misstatement in the financial schedules and/or financial statements that causes the schedules and/or statements to not present fairly the financial position or the results of operations or cash flows in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting.

material noncompliance

Violations of laws and regulations that could have a direct and material effect on major federal programs or on financial schedule and/or statement amounts.

material weakness

A condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that either misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial schedules and/or financial statements being audited or noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

MDOT

Michigan Department of Transportation.

Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN) A fully integrated automated financial management system for the State of Michigan.

**MIDB** 

Management Information Database.

mission

The agency's main purpose or the reason that the agency was established.

OFM

Office of Financial Management.

**OMB** 

U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

Personnel-Payroll Information System for Michigan (PPRISM) An online database system used for updating and inquiry of personnel and payroll records.

procurement card

A MasterCard credit card issued to State of Michigan employees to purchase certain goods and services at the request of and for the legitimate business benefit of the State of Michigan.

questioned costs

A cost that is questioned by the auditor because of an audit finding: (1) which resulted from a violation or possible violation of a provision of a law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement or document governing the use of federal funds, including funds used to match federal funds; (2) where the costs, at the time of the audit, are not supported by adequate documentation; or (3) where the costs incurred appear unreasonable and do not reflect the actions a prudent person would take in the circumstances.

reportable condition

A matter coming to the auditor's attention relating to a significant deficiency in the design or operation of internal control that, in the auditor's judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to (1) record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial schedules and/or financial statements or (2) administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants.

Single Audit

A financial audit, performed in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, that is designed to meet the needs of all federal grantor agencies and other financial report users. In addition to performing the audit in accordance with the requirements of auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, a Single Audit requires the assessment of compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program and the consideration of internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

SMRBC

St. Mary's River Bridge Company.

**SOMCAFR** 

State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

unqualified opinion

An auditor's opinion in which the auditor states, without reservation, that the financial schedules and/or financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting or are fairly presented in relation to the primary financial schedules and/or statements or an auditor's opinion in which the auditor states, without reservation, that the audited agency complied, in all material respects, with the cited requirements that are applicable to each major federal program.